ARTICLE

Open Access

Controlling angular dispersions in optical metasurfaces

Xiyue Zhang¹, Qi Li¹, Feifei Liu¹, Meng Qiu¹, Shulin Sun^{2,3}, Qiong He^{1,3,4} and Lei Zhou^{1,3,4}

Abstract

Although metasurfaces have shown great potential for manipulating light, most previously realized meta-devices suffer from *uncontrolled* angular dispersions, making them unfavorable for many applications. Here, we propose a general strategy to realize optical metasurfaces with desired angular dispersions based on carefully controlling both the near-field couplings between meta-atoms and the radiation pattern of a single meta-atom. Utilizing such a strategy, we experimentally demonstrate a series of optical meta-devices with predesigned angular dispersions, including two incident-angle-*insensitive* absorbers, one incident-angle-*selective* absorber, and one multifunctional meta-polarizer whose functionality changes from a perfect mirror to a half-waveplate as the excitation angle varies. Finally, we design a *gradient* meta-device using meta-atom arrays with purposely controlled angular dispersions and numerically demonstrate that it can exhibit distinct wavefront-control functionalities when illuminated at different incident angles. Our findings establish a new platform for achieving angle-multiplexed functional meta-devices, significantly expanding the wave-manipulation capabilities of optical metasurfaces.

Introduction

Controlling light at will is a key aim in optics research and is the basis for optical applications. Conventional optical devices, made from natural materials, are usually bulky in size (in terms of wavelength) and/or of curved shape, which is unfavorable for modern integration-optics applications¹. Metasurfaces, ultrathin metamaterials constructed by subwavelength planar microstructures (e.g., "meta-atoms") with tailored optical responses, have recently shown extraordinary capabilities to manipulate light in predesigned manners^{2–6}. Many fascinating effects have been demonstrated based on *periodic* or *inhomogeneous* metasurfaces, such as polarization control^{7–10}, perfect absorption^{11–13},

²Shanghai Engineering Research Center of Ultra-Precision Optical Manufacturing, Green Photonics and Department of Optical Science and Engineering, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article. These authors contributed equally: Xiyue Zhang, Qi Li, Feifei Liu

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Correspondence: Qiong He (qionghe@fudan.edu.cn) or

Lei Zhou (phzhou@fudan.edu.cn)

¹State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, Key Laboratory of Micro and Nano Photonic Structures (Ministry of Education), and Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China

light bending^{14–16}, surface wave coupling^{17–19}, metalensing^{20–23}, meta-holography^{24–30}, and many others^{31–39}. Relying on abrupt phase changes at device surfaces rather than the propagating phases of light inside the systems, these meta-devices can be ultrathin and flat, which are highly desired in integration-optics applications.

Despite the impressive success already achieved for metasurfaces, however, most of those light-manipulation effects were only demonstrated under normal-incidence excitation, and the *angular dispersions* of the devices were often overlooked. In reality, however, angular dispersion is a critical issue that must be carefully addressed in different application scenarios. For example, while incident-angleinsensitive perfect absorbers are highly desired in energyrelated applications, incident-angle-selective meta-devices have great potential in sensor-related applications. Unfortunately, the meta-devices realized thus far usually exhibit uncontrolled angular dispersions, which were only known after (rather than before) the devices were designed. Although a few attempts recently appear to have achieved wide-angle meta-devices^{29,30} and angle-multiplexed metadevices^{27,34–37}, the designs were typically obtained through

[©] The Author(s) 2020



brute-force simulation, an approach not generic enough to be applied to other cases. Very recently, we theoretically revealed that the *angular dispersions* in metasurfaces are dictated by the near-field couplings (NFCs) among adjacent meta-atoms in such systems, but the theory only considered frequency shifts, and the experimental demonstrations were limited to low-frequency domains (e.g., the THz regime)⁴⁰.

In this article, we establish a general and systematic strategy to guide the design of optical metasurfaces with fully controlled angular dispersions. Specifically, we show that the angular dispersions of metasurfaces are determined by both the NFCs between meta-atoms and the radiation pattern of a single constituent meta-atom (see the insets in Fig. 1). Based on such a complete theory, we experimentally demonstrate three sets of *periodic* meta-devices working in the near-infrared (NIR) regime with predesigned angular dispersions, including two incident-angle-insensitive perfect absorbers, an incident-angle-selective absorber, and an incident-angle-dependent multifunctional polarization controller (see Fig. 1). We finally employ such a generic strategy to design an angle-multiplexed wavefront-control metadevice and numerically demonstrate its bifunctional performances (e.g., focusing and acting as a mirror, see Fig. 1) when illuminated at different incident angles. Our findings pave the way to realizing meta-devices with fully controlled angular dispersions, which significantly expand the capabilities of metasurfaces to manipulate light and can further stimulate realization of high-performance optical metadevices for versatile applications in different scenarios.

Results

Revealing the origins of angular dispersions in metasurfaces

We start by experimentally illustrating the angular responses of a typical optical metasurface. As schematically

shown in Fig. 2a, the device is in a metal-insulator-metal (MIM) configuration, which consists of a periodic array of gold patch resonators and an optically thick continuous gold film separated by a dielectric (SiO₂) spacer. We carefully design the device 11-13 so that it can perfectly absorb incident light at a particular NIR wavelength under normal incidence. We fabricate a sample based on our design (see Fig. 2b for an image of the sample), and then experimentally characterize its reflection properties under illumination by light with transverse magnetic (TM) polarization at different incident angles (see Fig. S1 for the experimental setup). All measured reflected signals are normalized against a reference obtained under the same conditions but with the sample replaced by a gold mirror. Figure 2e depicts the measured reflectance spectra for different incident angles, which are in excellent agreement with the corresponding finite-element-method (FEM) simulations (see Fig. S2 in the Supplementary Information for more reflectance spectra). While each reflectance spectrum exhibits a well-defined resonance dip corresponding to (nearly) perfect light absorption, the working frequency of the device undergoes an obvious blueshift as the excitation light incident angle increases, manifesting a typical angular-dispersion behavior that was also discovered in previous studies^{13,41}.

We now theoretically explain these experimental results, starting from the angle-dependent resonance frequency shift. According to the photonic tight-binding method (TBM) established for periodic metasurfaces⁴⁰, the resonant mode of a given metasurface "seen" at an offnormal incident angle θ is essentially a Bloch mode with parallel **k** vector matching that of the incident wave, and thus, its frequency $f_r(\theta)$ is determined by the following dispersion relation:

$$f_r(\theta) = f_0 + J_0 + J_1 \cos(Pk_0 \sin \theta) + J_2 \cos(2Pk_0 \sin \theta) + \dots$$
(1)

where f_0 is the frequency of the resonance mode supported by a single MIM meta-atom, $\{J_i\}$ denote the couplings between meta-atoms, P is a lattice constant, and $k_0 = \omega/c$ is the free-space wavevector. Specifically, J_0 , J_1 , and J_2 describe the effective couplings within each metaatom row, between two nearest-neighbor rows, and between next-nearest-neighbor rows, respectively. As discussed in ref. 40 , the parameters $\{J_i\}$ are determined by the coupling strength between two meta-atoms located at two different lattice positions, which can be quantitatively calculated by the TBM when the EM fields of the single resonant mode are known⁴² (see Section 3 in Supplementary Information for more details). In addition, since the TBM can be employed to accurately predict the **k** dependences of the resonance modes supported by a metasurface/metamaterial, one can further combine the TBM with an effective-medium theory^{43,44} to study the



TBM calculations. **d** Damping parameters Γ_i and Γ_r of the meta-absorber as functions of the incident angle, retrieved from FEM simulations. **e** Measured and simulated reflectance spectra of the meta-absorber illuminated by TM-polarized light at different incident angles. The geometrical parameters of the meta-surface are $P_x = 270$ nm, $P_y = 400$ nm, $L_x = 240$ nm, $L_y = 50$ nm, t = 30 nm, $t_s = 45$ nm and $t_m = 150$ nm

nonlocal responses (i.e., $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})$ and $\mu(\mathbf{k})$)^{38,39} of the metasystem, which are closely related to the angular dispersion behavior discussed here.

We employ the photonic TBM to *quantitatively* compute all necessary inter-meta-atom coupling constants and find that the intra- and inter-row coupling strengths for this particular system are $\{J_0 = 4.53 \text{ THz}, J_1 = -20.14 \text{ THz}, J_2 = -3.05 \text{ THz}...\}$.

Inputting these parameters into Eq. (1), we then analytically calculate the dispersion relation $f_r(\theta)$ and depict it as a black solid line in Fig. 2c. The TBM results are in excellent agreement with both FEM simulations (blue triangles) and experimental results (red stars). Moreover, we can establish a clear picture to explain the blueshift of the resonant frequency with increasing θ based on Eq. (1). Obviously, the nearest-neighbor inter-row coupling J_1 is the most important parameter in generating the angular dispersions (see Eq. (1)), while its negative sign directly dictates the blueshift of the resonance frequency.

We further theoretically explain the angle dependence of the optical line shapes (e.g., the reflectance spectra) of the metasurface. Following the coupled-mode-theory (CMT) analyses presented in ref. ^{45,46}, we can describe such a system as a one-port single-mode model and derive its reflection coefficient as

$$r = -1 + \frac{2 * \Gamma_r}{-i2\pi(f - f_r) + \Gamma_i + \Gamma_r}$$
(2)

where Γ_i and Γ_r denote the damping rates of the resonance mode due to absorption loss and radiation loss, respectively. In principle, all model parameters in the above expression (i.e., f_r , Γ_i , Γ_r) exhibit different dependences on the incident angle θ , which collectively dictate the angular dispersion of the whole response. With the $f_r \sim \theta$ relation fully determined (see Eq. (1)), we can further retrieve the $\Gamma_i \sim$ θ and $\Gamma_{\rm r} \sim \theta$ relations by fitting the FEM-simulated spectra obtained at different θ with the CMT expression Eq. (2) (see Fig. S5 for more details). The retrieved $\Gamma_i \sim \theta$ and $\Gamma_r \sim \theta$ relations are depicted in Fig. 2d, which well explained the obtained line shapes. We note that the absorptive damping rate is quite insensitive to the incident angle $(\Gamma_i(\theta) = \Gamma_i(0))$, which is reasonable since this parameter is mainly determined by the constituent materials. Meanwhile, Γ_r also exhibits a weak dependence on θ , which explains why



from FEM simulations, for meta-absorbers with symmetrical and asymmetrical configurations. **d**, **t** SEM images and **e**, **g** measured and simulated reflectance spectra of the fabricated perfect meta-absorbers with symmetrical and asymmetrical configurations under excitation of TM-polarized light at different incident angles. The geometrical parameters of the meta-absorber with the symmetrical configuration are $P_x = 270 \text{ nm}$, $P_y = 400 \text{ nm}$, $L_x = 240 \text{ nm}$, $L_y = 50 \text{ nm}$, $\Delta y = 200 \text{ nm}$, t = 30 nm, $t_s = 45 \text{ nm}$ and $t_m = 150 \text{ nm}$. For the device with the asymmetrical configuration, $P_y = 500 \text{ nm}$ and $\Delta y = 190 \text{ nm}$, with the other parameters remaining the same as in the symmetrical configuration

(nearly) perfect absorption can occur at all incident angles since the perfect-absorption condition $\Gamma_i(\theta) = \Gamma_r$ (i.e., the critical damping condition⁴⁵) can be approximately satisfied at all off-normal incident angles as long as the condition is met at the normal incident angle.

We use a simple model to explain why Γ_r exhibits a very weak dependence on θ in such a case. As discussed in ref. ^{46,47}, in the lowest order approximation, $\Gamma_r(\theta)$ should be proportional to the radiation power of a single constituent meta-atom being excited in the direction specified by θ . Here, the MIM meta-atom supports a magnetic mode with \vec{m} polarized along the \hat{y} direction, which, after being excited, radiates nearly equally in all directions within the *x*–*z* plane (see Fig. S6 for the simulated radiation pattern) in the lowest order approximation, which explains why Γ_r is nearly independent of θ in this case.

The above analysis clearly reveals the origins of the angular dispersion in a metasurface—the inter-meta-atom couplings that dictate $f_r(\theta)$ and the radiation property of a single meta-atom that dictates $\Gamma_r(\theta)$. These physical understandings provide us with two different approaches to control the angular dispersions of metasurfaces, as we explain in the following subsections.

Approaches to control the angular dispersions of metasurfaces

Manipulating $f_r(\theta)$: incident-angle-insensitive meta-absorbers

We first illustrate how to control the angular dispersion of a metasurface by manipulating its $f_r(\theta)$ relation. According to Eq. (1), we understand that the $f_r(\theta)$ relation of a given metasurface can be efficiently controlled by *designing* the plasmonic couplings among adjacent metaatoms. In the following, we take an incident-angleinsensitive meta-absorber as an example to illustrate how the idea works.

Eq. (1) suggests that $J_1 = 0$ is the criterion to realize a (nearly) angular-dispersionless meta-device since J_1 is the largest inter-row coupling parameter. As demonstrated in ref. ⁴⁰, J_1 is the sum of all coupling strengths between meta-atoms belonging to adjacent rows. Instead of enlarging the inter-row distance to reduce the coupling J_1 , here, we propose to achieve this goal by *rearranging* the inter-meta-atom configurations of a metasurface. Specifically, considering a generic lattice configuration (see the inset in Fig. 3a) with each row shifted a distance Δy along the *y* direction with respect to its adjacent row and then considering only the lower-order inter-meta-atom

couplings, we find that the condition to realize an angular-dispersionless meta-absorber is

$$J_1 \approx 2(t_{12} + t_{13}) = 0 \tag{3}$$

with i = 1, 2, 3 labeling the meta-atoms located at lattice points belonging to two adjacent rows (see the inset in Fig. 3a). Since all t_{ij} parameters can be quantitatively computed using our photonic TBM, we can solve Eq. (3) to obtain a series of solutions of Δy under different values of (P_x, P_y) and depict the results in Fig. 3a as a black solid line (see Fig. S8 in Supplementary Information for more details).

We now choose two particular solutions from Fig. 3a to experimentally demonstrate our predictions. The first one is a special solution (with $P_{y}/P_{x} = \sqrt{2}$) corresponding to a lattice configuration with a symmetrical shift (marked by a square in Fig. 3a), while the second one (with $P_v/P_x > \sqrt{2}$) does not exhibit such symmetry (marked by a star in Fig. 3a). We fabricate two meta-devices according to these two solutions (see Fig. 3d, f for their SEM images) and then experimentally characterize their reflectance spectra under different incident angles. The experimental results are depicted in Fig. 3e, g, which are in good agreement with the corresponding FEM simulations (see Fig. S9 for more measured and simulated results). Most importantly, both experiments and simulations unambiguously demonstrate that the two meta-devices can perfectly absorb light at two fixed wavelengths (1275 and 1353 nm), insensitive to variations in the incident angle.

To further understand these results, we employ the same theoretical tools as in the last subsection to analyze both the $f_r(\theta)$ and $\Gamma_r(\theta)$ relations of the two fabricated devices. The $f_r(\theta)$ curves of the two meta-devices, calculated with the photonic TBM for realistic structures without any fitting parameters, are compared with both experimental and simulation results in Fig. 3b. Clearly, the resonance frequencies of the two carefully designed metadevices exhibit much weaker angle dependences than that of the "non-designed" meta-absorber studied in the last subsection. We next retrieve the two damping parameters $(\Gamma_i \text{ and } \Gamma_r)$ from the FEM-simulated reflection coefficients at different incident angles and depict their θ dependence in Fig. 3c. Again, both Γ_i (black symbols) and Γ_r (red symbols) are quite θ insensitive, as expected, sharing the same physics as those discussed in the Section "Revealing the origins of angular dispersions in metasurfaces", also explaining why perfect absorption can occur at all incident angles.

We now provide a simple picture to understand the above two solutions. According to the effective model for photonic coupling established in ref. ⁴², we understand that t_{12} and t_{13} are proportional to the dipolar interactions between the two magnetic dipoles possessed by two MIM

meta-atoms and can be analytically written as

$$t_{1i} = \frac{\omega_0 \mu_0}{8\pi \langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} \frac{(1 - 3\cos^2 \phi_{1i}) |\vec{m}|^2}{|\vec{r}_{1i}|^3} \ (i = 2 \text{ or } 3) \tag{4}$$

where $\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle$ is the normalized energy stored in a single meta-atom, \vec{r}_{1i} is the vector linking the centers of the two meta-atoms, and $\phi_{12} = \cos^{-1} \left(\Delta y / \sqrt{P_x^2 + \Delta y^2} \right)$ and $\phi_{13} = \frac{-1}{2} \left((D_1 - \Delta_1) / \sqrt{D_2^2 + (D_2 - \Delta_2)^2} \right)$

$$\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{(P_y - \Delta y)}{\sqrt{P_x^2 + (P_y - \Delta y)^2}}\right)$$
 are the angles

between \vec{r}_{1i} and \vec{m} . Inputting this information into Eq. (3), we can thus solve Eq. (3) to obtain the solutions of Δy under different values of (P_x, P_y) . Moreover, such analytical solutions uncover the nature of these two solutions, which cannot be easily understood based only on numerical calculations. Obviously, t_{12} and t_{13} are functions of ϕ_{12} and ϕ_{13} , respectively, which are in turn functions of Δy . In the case of $P_y/P_x < \sqrt{2}$ (the shaded region in Fig. 3a), $t_{12} + t_{13}$ are always positive regardless of how one varies Δy , and thus, no solution exists for Eq. (3). Meanwhile, in the special case of $P_{y}/P_{x} = \sqrt{2}$, we find a particular solution $\Delta y = P_y/2$ of Eq. (3), which essentially makes $t_{12} = t_{13} = 0$. In fact, such a "magic" angle that makes $t_{12} = 0$ has also been discovered in previous studies⁴⁰. Finally, under the condition of $P_{\gamma}/P_x > \sqrt{2}$, we find that t_{12} and t_{13} must exhibit *opposite* signs, and by varying Δy , one can always find a solution to make $t_{12} = -t_{13}$ and thus satisfy Eq. (3) (see Fig. S8 in Supplementary Information for more details).

Before concluding this section, we emphasize that our approach provides an alternative yet efficient way to control the angular dispersion of a metasurface without changing its periodicity or constituent meta-atoms, distinct from previous attempts that typically rely on enlarging the inter-meta-atom separations^{48,49}.

Manipulating $\Gamma_r(\theta)$ *: incident-angle-selective meta-absorber*

We now identify the role played by $\Gamma_r(\theta)$ in controlling the angular dispersion of a metasurface. As shown in Fig. 4a, we now study an MIM metasurface similar to that in the Section "Revealing the origins of angular dispersions in metasurfaces" but illuminated by obliquely incident light with transverse electric (TE) polarization. We fabricate a sample (see Fig. 4b for its SEM image) and experimentally characterize its reflectance spectra under TE-polarized illumination at different incident angles θ . Figure 4d illustrates the measured reflectance spectra as θ varies from 0° to 70°, which are in good agreement with the corresponding FEM simulations (see Fig. S10 for more experimental and simulation results). Compared with Fig. 2e, we find that the system now exhibits completely different angular dispersions. Specifically, while the



spectrum-dip frequency does not exhibit a dramatic dependence on θ , the resonance bandwidth shrinks and the peak absorption gradually enhances as θ increases. These features result in significant modulation of the final optical response (e.g., the line shape) of the metasurface under study.

To understand this unusual angular-dispersion behavior, we follow the same strategy as in the Section "Revealing the origins of angular dispersions in metasurfaces" to retrieve the CMT parameters Γ_r and Γ_i at different incident angles θ and then depict the obtained $\Gamma_r(\theta)$ and $\Gamma_i(\theta)$ relations in Fig. 4c. In sharp contrast with those depicted in Figs. 2d and 3c, Γ_r now obviously decreases as θ increases. As a result, while the MIM metasurface is located in the underdamped regime (i.e., $\Gamma_r > \Gamma_i$) with very small absorption under normal incidence⁴⁵, as θ increases, the system gradually moves to the critical-damping line defined by $\Gamma_r = \Gamma_i$, leading to significantly enhanced absorption (see Fig. 4e). In particular, at a sufficiently large incident angle $\theta = 80^{\circ}$, the critical coupling condition $\Gamma_r = \Gamma_i$ can be satisfied, leading to 100% absorption at this particular incident angle, as verified by our full-wave simulations on the realistic structure⁴⁵. This angle-selective absorption property is more

clearly illustrated in Fig. 4e, where the peak absorbance and the quality factor (inversely proportional to Γ_r) of our meta-device are shown as functions of θ , obtained by both experiments and simulations. Obviously, such a fascinating property is dictated by the unusual θ dependence of Γ_r , which is another factor to control the optical response of a metasurface by varying the incident angle.

We now explain why our metasurface can exhibit such an interesting $\Gamma_r(\theta)$ relation. As we discussed in the Section "Revealing the origins of angular dispersions in metasurfaces", the $\Gamma_r(\theta)$ relation is essentially dictated by the radiation pattern of the meta-atom, which is still a magnetic dipole in the present system. However, under the excitation of TE-polarized light, the relevant radiation pattern of the magnetic dipole is now on the *x*-*z* plane, in which the magnetic moment direction lies $(\vec{m}||\hat{x})$. Therefore, the radiation pattern of the dipole is completely different from that studied in the Section "Revealing the origins of angular dispersions in metasurfaces". Neglecting the influences of the metallic back plane, we understand that the radiation power of the magnetic dipole should be proportional to $\cos^2 \theta$ due to the transverse nature of the radiated EM waves (see Fig. S7 for the simulated radiation pattern). Indeed, we



 $L_v = 50 \text{ nm}, t = 30 \text{ nm}, t_s = 50 \text{ nm} \text{ and } t_m = 150 \text{ nm}$

find that the analytical expression⁵⁰

$$\Gamma_r(\theta) = 10.4 + 73.6 * \cos^2\theta \tag{5}$$

can describe the realistic $\Gamma_r(\theta)$ curve of our metasurface very well (see Fig. 4c), which further explains why our meta-device can exhibit such intriguing angle-dependent properties, as shown in Fig. 4e. We note here that the background constant (e.g., 10.4) in Eq. (5) must be contributed by the metallic ground plane, which makes the MIM meta-atom slightly different from a pure magnetic resonator.

We emphasize that our strategy to control angular dispersions is general enough and can be applied to other types of metasurfaces than the MIM ones exhibiting polarization-*locked* angular dispersions (see Figs. 2–4). As an illustration, we numerically examine the angular dispersion of a carefully designed transmissive metasurface composed of a periodic array of U-shaped resonators. We find that both the f_r and Γ_r of such a metasurface exhibit strong angular dispersion under a certain excitation

polarization (see Fig. S11 in Supplementary Information), different from the MIM metasurfaces studied here. The inherent physics is that the angular dispersion of a metasurface is *not* determined by the polarization but rather by the inter-resonator couplings and single-resonator radiation, which are fully controlled by the local resonating structures.

Applications

Based on the physical understandings gained in the last section, we can realize functional meta-devices with angle-dependent functionalities by carefully designing both the constituent meta-atoms and the local environments of the meta-atoms. In this section, we demonstrate two such meta-devices through experiments and simulations.

An angle-multiplexed meta-polarizer

We first realize an angle-multiplexed meta-polarizer based on a periodic metasurface with carefully designed angular dispersion. As schematically depicted in Fig. 5a,

the designed meta-device is a periodic metasurface with a building block of an MIM meta-atom whose top resonator is a Ag cross exhibiting x-y symmetry. Under normal incidence, the x-y symmetry of the meta-atom ensures that the whole device exhibits identical reflectionphase spectra when illuminated by light polarized along the x and y directions (see Fig. S12a). As we vary the \mathbf{k} vector of incident light in the x-z plane, the two excitation light components with in-plane E vectors pointing along the x and y directions now correspond to TM- and TEpolarized incident light, respectively. As illustrated in Fig. 5c, d, a metasurface can exhibit distinct angular dispersions for TE and TM excitations. Therefore, we can design the metasurface to purposely enlarge its difference in the optical responses under TE and TM excitations at oblique incident angles so that it can function as a waveplate at a particular off-normal incident angle, although it behaves as an isotropic mirror under normal incidence.

Figure 5c, d depicts how the FEM-simulated reflectance spectra (lines) of the designed sample vary as θ increases for the two different polarizations. In the TE case, the reflection-dip frequency barely changes, while the reflection-dip bandwidth obviously shrinks; in the TM case, the resonance frequency undergoes a considerable blueshift with a quite stable reflection-dip bandwidth. All these features are consistent with those presented in the last section and have been well explained by our theory (see Fig. S13 in the Supplementary Information for more details). Such opposite trends significantly enlarge our system's "effective optical anisotropy" at oblique angle incidences, as shown in Fig. 5b (also in Fig. S12b), where the phase difference $\Delta \phi = \phi_{\rm TE} - \phi_{\rm TM}$ is depicted as a function of θ and the working wavelength λ . Such a strong θ dependence of $\Delta \phi$ offers a novel possibility to realize an angle-multiplexed polarization controller of reflected light. As shown in the inset in Fig. 5b, at the wavelength of $\lambda = 1358$ nm, $\Delta \phi$ continuously changes from 0 to 0.8π as θ increases from 0° to 70°. Therefore, when illuminating the metasurface by linearly polarized light with a tangential **E** vector lying at an angle 45° with respect to the *x*axis (which can be decomposed into TE and TM modes with equal amplitudes), the reflected light must take a polarization state that can be continuously modulated by varying θ (see the inset in Fig. 5b).

We fabricate a sample (see the right panel in Fig. 5a for its SEM image) and experimentally demonstrate all of the above predictions. We first measure the reflectance spectra of our sample under illumination by TE- and TMpolarized light at different incident angles and depict the results as open circles in Fig. 5c, d (see Fig. S14 for more experimental and simulated results). Excellent agreement is found between the experimental and simulation results. In addition, the θ dependences of the f_{r} , Γ_i , and Γ_r of our meta-device for both TE and TM polarizations are in good agreement with our theoretical analyses (see Fig. S15 in Supplementary Information).

We next employ our macroscopic angular resolved spectrometer to characterize the polarization states of light reflected by the meta-device for different incident angles. In our experiments, we illuminate the sample with light beams at different incident angles but with correct polarization angles as described above and then analyze the polarization states of the reflected light beams by measuring the power of the received signals passing through a linear polarizer placed in front of the sample, which is then rotated to cover the full 360° range. Figure 5e illustrates the evolution of the measured polarized field patterns for light beams reflected at different incident angles. Careful analysis reveals that these patterns are consistent with the polarization states predicted in Fig. 5b, supported by the good agreement between the measured patterns (symbols) and those (solid lines) calculated based on the predicted polarization states. Specifically, while the reflected light exhibits a linear polarization under normal incidence (see Fig. S16b), its polarization state gradually changes from an elliptical polarization ($\theta = 20^\circ$) to a circular polarization $(\theta = 55^{\circ})$, then to an elliptical polarization again $(\theta = 60^{\circ})$ but with the principle axis rotated by 90°, and finally to an elliptical polarization that is very close to a cross-polarized linear polarization ($\theta = 70^{\circ}$) (see Fig. S16 for more measured/simulated results). Quantitatively, for the case of $\theta = 55^{\circ}$, the measured (simulated) degree of circular polarization $(\text{DOCP} = |\mathbf{a}_r|^2 / (|\mathbf{a}_r|^2 + |\mathbf{a}_l|^2))$ for the reflected light reaches 93.2% (83.7%) with an absolute reflection efficiency of 56.6% (57.5%), where a_r and a_l denote the coefficients of the right and left circularly polarized components of the reflected beam. Meanwhile, for the $\theta = 70^{\circ}$ case, the measured (simulated) polarization conversion ratio $\left(PCR=a_{cro}^2/\left(a_{co}^2+a_{cro}^2\right)\right)$ for the reflected light is 79.7% (92.3%) with an absolute reflection efficiency of 68.6% (69%), where a_{cro} and a_{co} denote the coefficients of the cross- and co-polarized components (with respect to that of the incident light) of the reflected beam, respectively (see Fig. S17 in Supplementary Information for the evaluation of the absolute working efficiency). The discrepancies between experiments and simulations might be caused by sample imperfections and non-plane wave inputs. We note that the absolute working efficiency and the polarization conversion efficiency of our meta-device can be further improved by using materials with lower losses (see Fig. S18 in Supplementary Information).

An angle-multiplexed wavefront controller

The ability to freely control the angular dispersions of metasurfaces also provides us with a new route to realize angle-multiplexed wavefront-control meta-devices based on *inhomogeneous* metasurfaces, as we demonstrate in



multiplexed bifunctional meta-device, using Ag and SIO₂ as metallic and delectric materials. **D**, **C** FDTD-simulated spectra of the reflectance and reflection phase for two different meta-chains (with $L_1 = 253$ nm, $L_2 = 10$ nm and $L_1 = 192$ nm, $L_2 = 135$ nm) under excitation of TM-polarized light at two different incident angles (0° and 60°). Insets: FDTD-simulated $|\vec{E}|$ field distributions on the surfaces of the two different meta-chains. **d** Schematic of two distinct functionalities and corresponding reflection-phase distributions of our designed meta-device when illuminated by TM-polarized light at two different incident angles. **e** FDTD-simulated $|H_x|$ field distribution on the *y*-*z* plane for the designed meta-device under normal-incidence excitation with polarization $\vec{E}||\hat{x}|$ and wavelength $\lambda = 1462$ nm. **f** FDTD-simulated (normalized) far-field scattering pattern on the *x*-*z* plane for the meta-device. The fixed geometrical parameters of the meta-chain are $P_x = 350$ nm, $P_y = 200$ nm, $L_y = 50$ nm, t = 30 nm, $t_s = 50$ nm and $t_m = 150$ nm

this subsection. To this end, we need to first find a series of meta-atoms with well-controlled angular dispersions so that their phase responses sensitively depend on the excitation angle. Based on the knowledge gained in the Section "Approaches to control the angular dispersions of metasurfaces", we understand that such meta-atoms need to contain multiple resonators coupled together so that the inter-resonator couplings can strongly modulate the angular dispersions of the whole meta-atoms.

As a proof of concept only, here, we choose to demonstrate a one-dimensional (1D) wavefront controller exhibiting an inhomogeneous phase distribution only along the *y* direction. The meta-atoms forming such a device are a series of 1D chains (e.g., meta-chains), each consisting of two different MIM structures periodically repeated along the *x* direction, as schematically shown in Fig. 6a. By tuning the structural parameters (i.e., L_1 and L_2), we can not only alter a metachain's reflection-phase spectrum under normal incidence but also, more importantly, modulate the difference between the reflection phases measured under normal and off-normal incidences by "tuning" the mutual couplings between two MIM resonators inside the meta-chain. Figure 6b, c depicts the FEM-simulated spectra of the reflectance and reflection phase for two typical meta-chains with different values of L_1 and L_2 (see Fig. S19 and Table S1 in the Supplementary Information for more detailed analyses on systems with different L_1 and L_2). In our calculations, we periodically repeat the meta-chains along the *y* direction to form two infinite metasurfaces whose reflection properties can be unambiguously defined. Due to the weak couplings between adjacent meta-chains (see Fig. S20 in Supplementary Information), the obtained results can well represent the properties of the single meta-chains under study. Choosing the working wavelength of 1462 nm (see the dashed lines in Fig. 6b, c), we find that the two meta-chains indeed exhibit distinct normalincidence reflection phases as well as distinct angular dispersions manifested by the different values of $\Delta \phi$ achieved in the two different systems.

Combining different meta-chains to form a metasurface and assuming that each meta-chain exhibits a reflection phase ϕ^{θ} under TM-polarized illumination at incident angle θ , we then obtain a meta-device exhibiting a θ dependent phase profile $\phi^{\theta}(y)$. Based on large-scale numerical calculations, we finally distinguish a series of meta-chains (see Table S2 in Supplementary Information for the details of the obtained meta-chains) such that the constructed inhomogeneous meta-device exhibits the following reflection-phase profiles for two incident angles²¹

$$\begin{cases} \phi_x^{0^{\circ}}(y) = k_0 \left(\sqrt{F^2 + y_{\max}^2} - \sqrt{F^2 + y^2} \right) \\ \phi_x^{60^{\circ}}(y) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(6)

where $y_{\text{max}} = 4.6 \,\mu\text{m}$ is the half length of the meta-device along the *y* direction and $F = 20 \,\mu\text{m}$ is the focal length. Obviously, Eq. (6) indicates that our meta-device behaves as a focusing lens for normally incident light with polarization $\vec{E} || \hat{x}$ but changes to a flat mirror for TMpolarized incident light at $\theta = 60^{\circ}$ (see Fig. 6d).

We now numerically verify the above predictions. Assuming that our meta-device is illuminated by normally incident light with polarization $\vec{E}||\hat{x}|$ at a wavelength of 1462 nm, we employ FDTD simulations to compute the distribution of the scattered field with the incident field extracted. Figure 6e depicts the calculated $|H_x|$ field distribution on the y-z plane, showing that the reflected light is indeed focused to a line at a distance 20 µm above the device. We next compute the scattering power pattern of our meta-device when it is illuminated by TM-polarized light at $\theta = 60^{\circ}$ with in-plane polarization $\vec{E}_{\parallel} \parallel \hat{x}$ (see Fig. 6a for the configuration of the input light) and depict the obtained reflection power pattern on the x-z plane in Fig. 6f. That the peak of the reflected signal appears in the specular reflection direction clearly demonstrates the mirror functionality of the device when illuminated by incident light at this angle. Note that our meta-device's working efficiencies for the lens and mirror functionalities are 7% and 15.3%, respectively. Unfortunately, due to the limitations in our nanofabrication facilities, we were not able to fabricate such a meta-device with the fine characteristic scale. We believe, however, that experimental demonstration of such an idea in different frequency domains would be a very interesting project in the future.

Before concluding this section, we emphasize that our strategy is general enough to realize angle-multiplexed meta-devices with arbitrary intended phase profiles for different incident angles as long as one can design a set of meta-atoms exhibiting incident-angle-dependent phases covering the whole range of 360° at the desired frequencies.

Discussion

In summary, we have combined theory and experiments to reveal that the angular dispersions of metasurfaces are governed by the couplings between meta-atoms and the radiation properties of constituent single meta-atoms. By carefully controlling these two factors, we designed and experimentally realized a series of optical meta-devices with desired angular dispersions, including two incidentangle-insensitive perfect absorbers, one incident-angleselective perfect absorber, and one angle-dependent multifunctional meta-polarizer. Finally, we numerically demonstrated an angle-multiplexed wavefront-control meta-device employing meta-atom arrays with predetermined angular dispersions. Our findings pave the way to realizing angle-dependent multifunctional meta-devices, which significantly expand the wave-control capabilities of metasurfaces and may stimulate the realization of multifunctional meta-devices for versatile applications.

Materials and methods

Simulations

We employed FEM simulations using the commercial software COMSOL Multiphysics to study all periodic metasurfaces in this work. For inhomogeneous metasurfaces, we used the numerical software Concerto 7.0 to perform FDTD simulations. The permittivities of Au and Ag were described by the Drude model $\varepsilon_r(\omega) = \varepsilon_{\infty} - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega(\omega+i\gamma)}$, with $\varepsilon_{\infty} = 9, \omega_p = 1.37 \times 10^{16} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, and $\gamma = 12.24 \times 10^{13} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ for Au and $\varepsilon_{\infty} = 5$, $\omega_p = 1.37 \times 10^{16} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, and $\gamma = 4.08 \times 10^{13} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ for Ag, obtained by fitting our experimental results. The SiO₂ spacer was considered a lossless dielectric with permittivity $\varepsilon = 2.1$. Additional losses caused by surface roughness and grain boundary effects in thin films as well as dielectric losses were effectively considered in the fitting parameter γ .

Fabrication

All our meta-devices were fabricated following standard electron-beam lithography (EBL) and lift-off processes. In fabricating these samples, we first used magnetron sputtering to deposit 3 nm Cr, 150 nm Au/Ag, 3 nm Cr and SiO₂ film on a silicon substrate. Then, the positive resist MMA EL6 (200 nm) and PMMA A2 (80 nm) were spin coated on the substrate coated with Au/Ag and SiO₂ layers. The meta-atom arrays were next defined using EBL (JEOL 6300) with an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. After exposure, the resist was developed for 30 s in a 3:1 mixture of isopropanol (IPA) and methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK). Then, 3 nm Cr and 30 nm Au/Ag were subsequently deposited using electron-beam evaporation. Finally, the sample was dipped in acetone for 30 min to perform lift off. The fabricated metasurfaces were imaged using scanning electron microscopy (Zeiss Sigma) to measure the actual dimensions of the nanostructures. All samples had dimensions of $600 \,\mu\text{m} \times 300 \,\mu\text{m}$.

Optical characterizations

We used a homemade macroscopic angular resolution spectrometer equipped with a broadband supercontinuum white light source, polarizers, a beam splitter, a CCD, and a fiber-coupled grating spectrometer (Ideaoptics NIR2500) to characterize the angular dispersions of our fabricated metasurfaces. The diameter and divergence angle of the incident light were minimized to 130 μ m and ~1°, respectively. The sample was placed on a manual rotation stage, which could be rotated to change the incident angle. A fiber-coupled receiver was placed on a motorized rotation stage to detect the signal reflected in the right direction, and the minimum detectable reflection angle was 7°. To measure the reflectance under normal incidence, we used a beam splitter to deflect the normal reflection beam.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 91850101, 11734007, 11674068, and 11874118), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Nos. 2017YFA0303504 and 2017YFA0700201), the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (No. 18ZR1403400), and the Fudan University-CIOMP Joint Fund (No. FC2018-006). L. Zhou and Q. He acknowledge the technical support from the Fudan Nanofabrication Laboratory for sample fabrication.

Author details

¹State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, Key Laboratory of Micro and Nano Photonic Structures (Ministry of Education), and Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China. ²Shanghai Engineering Research Center of Ultra-Precision Optical Manufacturing, Green Photonics and Department of Optical Science and Engineering, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China. ³Academy for Engineering and Technology, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China. ⁴Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing 210093, China

Author contributions

X.Z., Q.L., and F.L. contributed equally to this work; X.Z. fabricated all the samples and conducted part of the experiments; Q.L. carried out the analytical modeling and simulations; F.L. built the experimental setup and conducted part of the experiments; M.Q. and S.S. provided technical support for the simulations and data analyses; L.Z. and Q.H. conceived the idea and supervised the project. All authors contributed to the discussion and preparation of the paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41377-020-0313-0.

Received: 17 December 2019 Revised: 26 March 2020 Accepted: 30 March 2020

Published online: 06 May 2020

References

- Born, M. & Wolf, E. Principles of Optics: Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation, Interference and Diffraction of Light. 7th edn. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- Yu, N. F. & Capasso, F. Flat optics with designer metasurfaces. *Nat. Mater.* 13, 139–150 (2014).
- Hsiao, H. H., Chu, C. H. & Tsai, D. P. Fundamentals and applications of metasurfaces. Small Methods 1, 1600064 (2017).
- Ding, F. et al. A review of gap-surface plasmon metasurfaces: fundamentals and applications. *Nanophotonics* 7, 1129–1156 (2018).
- He, Q. et al. High-efficiency metasurfaces: principles, realizations, and applications. Adv. Optical Mater. 6, 1800415 (2018).
- Sun, S. L. et al. Electromagnetic metasurfaces: physics and applications. Adv. Opt. Photonics 11, 380–479 (2019).
- Hao, J. M. et al. Manipulating electromagnetic wave polarizations by anisotropic metamaterials. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 99, 063908 (2007).
- Jiang, S. C. et al. Controlling the polarization state of light with a dispersionfree metastructure. *Phys. Rev. X* 4, 021026 (2014).
- Li, L. et al. Plasmonic polarization generator in well-routed beaming. *Light: Sci. Appl.* 4, e330–e330 (2015).

- Rodríguez-Fortuño, F. J. et al. Universal method for the synthesis of arbitrary polarization states radiated by a nanoantenna. *Laser Photonic Rev.* 8, L27–L31 (2014).
- 11. Hao, J. M. et al. High performance optical absorber based on a plasmonic metamaterial. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **96**, 251104 (2010).
- 12. Liu, N. et al. Infrared perfect absorber and its application as plasmonic sensor. *Nano Lett.* **10**, 2342–2348 (2010).
- Hao, J. M., Zhou, L. & Qiu, M. Nearly total absorption of light and heat generation by plasmonic metamaterials. *Phys. Rev. B* 83, 165107 (2011).
- 14. Yu, N. F. et al. Light propagation with phase discontinuities: generalized laws of reflection and refraction. *Science* **334**, 333–337 (2011).
- Ni, X. J. et al. Broadband light bending with plasmonic nanoantennas. Science 335, 427 (2012).
- Sun, S. L. et al. High-efficiency broadband anomalous reflection by gradient meta-surfaces. Nano Lett. 12, 6223–6229 (2012).
- 17. Sun, S. L. et al. Gradient-index meta-surfaces as a bridge linking propagating waves and surface waves. *Nat. Mater.* **11**, 426–431 (2012).
- Huang, L. L. et al. Helicity dependent directional surface plasmon polariton excitation using a metasurface with interfacial phase discontinuity. *Light: Sci. Appl.* 2, e70 (2013).
- 19. Pors, A. et al. Efficient unidirectional polarization-controlled excitation of surface plasmon polaritons. *Light: Sci. Appl.* **3**, e197 (2014).
- Aieta, F. et al. Aberration-free ultrathin flat lenses and axicons at telecom wavelengths based on plasmonic metasurfaces. *Nano Lett.* 12, 4932–4936 (2012).
- Li, X. et al. Flat metasurfaces to focus electromagnetic waves in reflection geometry. Opt. Lett. 37, 4940–4942 (2012).
- Khorasaninejad, M. et al. Metalenses at visible wavelengths: diffraction-limited focusing and subwavelength resolution imaging. *Science* **352**, 1190–1194 (2016).
- Wang, S. M. et al. A broadband achromatic metalens in the visible. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 13, 227–232 (2018).
- 24. Chen, W. T. et al. High-efficiency broadband meta-hologram with polarizationcontrolled dual images. *Nano Lett.* **14**, 225–230 (2014).
- Zheng, G. X. et al. Metasurface holograms reaching 80% efficiency. Nat. Nanotechnol. 10, 308–312 (2015).
- Wang, L. et al. Grayscale transparent metasurface holograms. Optica 3, 1504–1505 (2016).
- Kamali, S. M. et al. Angle-multiplexed metasurfaces: encoding independent wavefronts in a single metasurface under different illumination angles. *Phys. Rev. X* 7, 041056 (2017).
- Wang, B. et al. Visible-frequency dielectric metasurfaces for multiwavelength achromatic and highly dispersive holograms. *Nano Lett.* 16, 5235–5240 (2016).
- Deng, Z. L. et al. Diatomic metasurface for vectorial holography. Nano Lett. 18, 2885–2892 (2018).
- Deng, Z. L. et al. Facile metagrating holograms with broadband and extreme angle tolerance. *Light: Sci. Appl.* 7, 78 (2018).
- 31. Pfeiffer, C. et al. High performance bianisotropic metasurfaces: asymmetric transmission of light. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 023902 (2014).
- Yang, Y. M. et al. Dielectric meta-reflectarray for broadband linear polarization conversion and optical vortex generation. *Nano Lett.* 14, 1394–1399 (2014).
- Cui, T. J. et al. Coding metamaterials, digital metamaterials and programmable metamaterials. *Light: Sci. Appl.* 3, e218 (2014).
- Hu, D. J. et al. Laser-splashed three-dimensional plasmonic nanovolcanoes for steganography in angular anisotropy. ACS Nano 12, 9233–9239 (2018).
- Bao, Y. J. et al. Coherent pixel design of metasurfaces for multidimensional optical control of multiple printing-image switching and encoding. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 28, 1805306 (2018).
- Leitis, A. et al. Angle-multiplexed all-dielectric metasurfaces for broadband molecular fingerprint retrieval. *Sci. Adv.* 5, eaaw2871 (2019).
- Deng, Z. L. et al. Multifunctional metasurface: from extraordinary optical transmission to extraordinary optical diffraction in a single structure. *Photonics Res.* 6, 443–450 (2018).
- Cordaro, A. et al. High-index dielectric metasurfaces performing mathematical operations. *Nano Lett.* 19, 8418–8423 (2019).
- Kwon, H. et al. Nonlocal metasurfaces for optical signal processing. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 173004 (2018).
- 40. Qiu, M. et al. Angular dispersions in terahertz metasurfaces: physics and applications. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **9**, 054050 (2018).

- 42. Xi, B. et al. Theory of coupling in dispersive photonic systems. *Phys. Rev. B* 83, 165115 (2011).
- Lalanne, P. & Lemercier-Lalanne, D. On the effective medium theory of subwavelength periodic structures. J. Mod. Opt. 43, 2063–2085 (1996).
- Smith, D. R. et al. Determination of effective permittivity and permeability of metamaterials from reflection and transmission coefficients. *Phys. Rev. B* 65, 195104 (2002).
- 45. Qu, C. et al. Tailor the functionalities of metasurfaces based on a complete phase diagram. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 235503 (2015).
- Fan, S. H., Suh, W. & Joannopoulos, J. D. Temporal coupled-mode theory for the Fano resonance in optical resonators. J. Optical Soc. Am. A 20, 569–572 (2003).
- Suh, W., Wang, Z. & Fan, S. H. Temporal coupled-mode theory and the presence of non-orthogonal modes in lossless multimode cavities. *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* 40, 1511–1518 (2004).
- Humphrey, A. D. & Barnes, W. L. Plasmonic surface lattice resonances on arrays of different lattice symmetry. *Phys. Rev. B* 90, 075404 (2014).
- Kravets, V. G. et al. Plasmonic surface lattice resonances: a review of properties and applications. *Chem. Rev.* **118**, 5912–5951 (2018).
- 50. Jackson, J. D. Classical Electrodynamics. (John Wiley & Sons, 1999).