ARTICLE

Open Access

Complete polarization control in multimode fibers with polarization and mode coupling

Wen Xiong¹, Chia Wei Hsu¹, Yaron Bromberg², Jose Enrique Antonio-Lopez³, Rodrigo Amezcua Correa³ and Hui Cao¹

Abstract

Multimode optical fibers have seen increasing applications in communication, imaging, high-power lasers, and amplifiers. However, inherent imperfections and environmental perturbations cause random polarization and mode mixing, causing the output polarization states to be different from the input polarization states. This difference poses a serious issue for employing polarization-sensitive techniques to control light–matter interactions or nonlinear optical processes at the distal end of a fiber probe. Here, we demonstrate complete control of polarization states for all output channels by only manipulating the spatial wavefront of a laser beam into the fiber. Arbitrary polarization. The strong coupling between the spatial and polarization degrees of freedom in a multimode fiber enables full polarization control with the spatial degrees of freedom alone; thus, wavefront shaping can transform a multimode fiber into a highly efficient reconfigurable matrix of waveplates for imaging and communication applications.

Introduction

The vectorial nature of electromagnetic waves plays an indispensable role in light–matter interaction, optical transmission, and imaging. Control over the polarization state of light has been widely exploited in single-molecule detection, nanoplasmonics, optical tweezers, nonlinear microscopy, and optical coherence tomography. However, a well-prepared state of polarization can be easily scrambled by multiple scatterings of light in three-dimensional disordered media. An alternative consideration is that multiple scatterings couple spatial and polarization degrees of freedom, enabling polarization control of the scattered light using wavefront shaping of the incident beam. Arbitrary polarization states have been attained in a single or a few spatial channels^{1–5},

© The Author(s) 2018

transforming the random medium into a dynamic waveplate. For imaging and sensing applications, full polarization control of all output channels can avoid spatial point scanning and acquire information in parallel. However, it is extremely difficult to control the polarization state of the *total* transmitted light, and the relatively low transmission through a scattering medium limits the efficiency.

Polarization scrambling also occurs in optical fibers⁶. For a single-mode fiber, the output polarization state can be controlled by manipulating the input polarization. Due to refractive index fluctuations introduced by inherent imperfection and environmental perturbation such as eccentricity, bending, and twisting, a multimode fiber (MMF) experiences not only polarization mixing but also mode mixing. When light is launched into a single guided mode in the MMF, it spreads to other modes, each of which experiences distinct polarization scrambling. Thus, the output polarization state of the modes varies from one mode to another (see Fig. 1a), prohibiting the control of

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Correspondence: Hui Cao (hui.cao@yale.edu)

¹Department of Applied Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA ²Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article.



output polarization states in *all* modes by adjusting the input polarization of a single mode. One approach to achieve complete polarization control is to measure the full transmission matrix of the MMF and invert it to find the vector fields to be injected into the individual modes. This approach requires simultaneous control of both the spatial and polarization degrees of freedom at the input and is technically demanding.

The coupling between the spatial and polarization degrees of freedom in an MMF, such as in a random scattering medium^{7,8}, opens the possibility of utilizing only the spatial degrees of freedom of the input wave to control the polarization state of the output field. The key question is whether such control would be complete in the sense that arbitrary polarization states can be attained for total transmitted light regardless of the input polarization, and each output mode has a polarization state that differs from each other in a designed manner. If complete polarization control can be achieved by only shaping the spatial wavefront of an input beam, it is easier to experimentally realize because most spatial light modulators (SLMs) operate for one polarization. Complete control of output polarization states is essential in the application of MMFs in endoscopy^{9–17}, spectroscopy^{18–20}, microscopy^{21,22}, nonlinear optics^{23,24}, quantum optics^{25,26}, optical communication²⁷, and fiber amplifiers $^{\overline{2}8-33}$.

In this study, we experimentally demonstrate complete polarization control of coherent light transmitted through an MMF with strong mode and polarization coupling. By modulating the spatial wavefront of a linearly polarized (LP) beam, depolarizations in the MMF are completely eliminated, and the transmitted light retains the input polarization. Moreover, a complete conversion of the input polarization to its orthogonal counterpart or any polarization state is achieved. We further tailor the polarization states of individual output channels utilizing spatial degrees of freedom without constraining the input polarization state. Our theoretical analysis and numerical modeling illustrate that full control of polarizations using spatial wavefront shaping is only possible when mode coupling occurs in the fiber. Random mode mixing, often unavoidable in an MMF, can be harnessed for functional advantages. Hence, wavefront shaping can make an MMF function as a highly efficient reconfigurable matrix of waveplates, converting arbitrary polarization states of the incident field into any desired polarization state.

Results

Mode coupling

To illustrate the critical role played by spatial mode coupling in polarization control, let us first consider an MMF with only polarization mixing but no mode mixing. Linearly polarized (LP) modes are the eigenmodes of a perfect fiber under the weak guiding approximation³⁴. The birefringence induced by fiber imperfections and perturbations changes the polarization state. Light injected into each LP mode effectively propagates through a distinctive set of waveplates with random orientations of their optical axes. Eventually, different LP modes have different polarizations, and the total output field becomes depolarized. In the absence of mode coupling, the MMF behaves similar to a bundle of uncoupled single-mode

fibers. It is impossible to control the output polarization of each mode without manipulating their individual input polarizations.

With mode mixing in the fiber, spatial and polarization degrees of freedom become coupled. The output polarization state depends not only on the polarization but also on the spatial wavefront of the input field. For illustration, we consider a fiber with only two modes, each of which has two orthogonal polarization states. The incident light is monochromatic and LP in the horizontal direction. The field is 1 for mode 1 and $e^{i\theta}$ for mode 2. Without mode coupling, the relative phase θ between the two modes does not affect the output polarization state of either mode. However, with mode coupling, the output field of one mode also depends on the input field of the other. For example, the vertical polarization of mode 1 has contributions from (i) the field in mode 1 converted to the vertical polarization and (ii) the field in mode 2 that is coupled to the vertically polarized mode 1. The relative phase of these two contributions can be changed by varying θ , resulting in a constructive or destructive interference that modifies the amplitude of the vertically polarized field in mode 1. This degree of freedom is effective only when there is mode mixing in the fiber. Compared to a fiber without mode coupling, more polarization states can be created at the output by adjusting the input wavefront. Mode mixing enables polarization control by utilizing spatial degrees of freedom, as illustrated schematically in Fig. 1b.

Polarization manipulation

Depolarization-free states

To quantitatively evaluate the polarization control using only spatial degrees of freedom, we perform a numerical simulation of an MMF with strong polarization and mode coupling. The fiber has N modes, each of which has a twofold degeneracy corresponding to two orthogonal polarizations. We use the concatenated fiber model³⁵ to simulate random coupling among all modes of the MMF (see Supplementary Materials). Without loss of generality, we use the horizontal (H) and vertical (V) polarizations as the basis to describe the full transmission matrix of the MMF

$$t = \begin{bmatrix} t_{HH} & t_{HV} \\ t_{VH} & t_{VV} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

where $t_{\rm HH}(t_{\rm VH})$ represents the horizontal (vertical) component of the output field when the input light is horizontally polarized. $t_{\rm HH}$ has a dimension of $N \times N$, where N is the number of modes in the fiber for a single polarization.

The output field of the horizontal polarization is $|\psi\rangle = t_{HH} |\phi\rangle$ for a horizontally polarized input $|\phi\rangle$. Hence, the

total intensity of the horizontal polarization is $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \left\langle \phi | t_{HH}^{\dagger} t_{HH} | \phi \right\rangle$, with t_{HH}^{\dagger} being the Hermitian conjugate of t_{HH}. The maximum and minimum eigenvalues of $t_{HH}^{\dagger} t_{HH}$ set the range of transmission that can be reached in horizontal polarization. The largest eigenvalue gives the maximum energy that can be retained in the horizontal polarization after propagating through the fiber. In contrast, the smallest eigenvalue indicates the maximum energy that can be converted to the vertical polarization. After simulating an ensemble of MMFs with random mode and polarization coupling but no loss, we obtain the eigenvalue density $P(\tau_{\rm HH})$ plotted in Fig. 2a. If the fiber has only one mode (N = 1), $P(\tau_{HH})$ has a uniform distribution between 0 and 1 as a result of complete polarization mixing in the fiber. When there are two guided modes (N = 1), $P(\tau_{HH})$ develops two peaks at $\tau_{\rm HH} = 0$, 1. With the increase in N, these two peaks grow rapidly and become dominant at $N \gg 1$. The $P(\tau_{\rm HH})$ probability of having an eigenvalue close to unity or zero is very high (see Supplementary Materials). The eigenvector associated with $\tau_{\rm HH} = 1$ retains the input polarization (H) at the fiber output, whereas the eigenvector associated with $\tau_{\rm HH} = 0$ makes a 100% conversion to the orthogonal polarization (V). As $\tau_{\rm HH}$ decreases from 1 to 0, the percentage of transmission in the horizontal polarization drops, whereas that in the vertical polarization rises, as shown in Fig. 2b.

The numerically calculated eigenvalue density agrees with the analytical prediction of wave transmission in a lossless chaotic cavity (see the lines in Fig. 2a). Such an agreement reveals the analogy between an MMF with random mode and polarization coupling and a chaotic cavity with two leads, as drawn schematically in Fig. 2c. The transmission of the input polarization in the fiber is analogous to the reflection in the chaotic cavity in the sense that light exits the cavity through the same lead. Hence, the eigenvalue for the MMF corresponds to the reflection eigenvalue of the chaotic cavity.

Using the analytical theory developed previously for the chaotic cavity^{36,37}, we derive the probability density of the maximum $\tau_{\rm HH}$ eigenvalue of $t_{HH}^{\dagger}t_{HH}$ (see Supplementary Materials). The average value, $\langle \tau_{max} \rangle = 1 - 1/(N^2 + 1)$, approaches unity rapidly with the increase in N, in agreement with the numerical result shown in Fig. 2d. The polarization extinction ratio (PER), defined as the maximal ratio of the transmissions in the two orthogonal polarizations, is equal to $\langle \tau_{max} \rangle/(1 - \langle \tau_{max} \rangle) = N^2$. With a large number of modes in the fiber, we obtain . Depolarization is avoided by coupling light with the eigenvector associated with the maximum eigenvalue of $t_{HH}^{\dagger}t_{HH}$. The eigenvector is a superposition of the LP modes with the horizontal polarization and can be generated by an SLM. The N^2 scaling originates from the repulsion between



Fig. 2 Polarization mixing in an WiNF and analogy to wave scattering in a chaotic cavity. a Numerically calculated density of the eigenvalues of $t_{HH}^{\dagger}t_{HH}$ (blue circles) in an MMF with strong mode and polarization coupling. With an increasing number of modes N in the fiber, $P(t_{HH})$ evolves into a bimodal distribution, in agreement with the analytical expression for the reflection eigenvalue density in a chaotic cavity with two leads (black so T_{HH}^{max} lid lines). **b** Transmission of horizontal (H) and vertical (V) polarization components for individual eigenvectors of $t_{HH}^{\dagger}t_{HH}$, which are numbered by their eigenvalues from high to low. The decrease of H is accompanied by an increase of V, and their sum remains 1. **c** Schematic diagram of a chaotic cavity with two leads. A wave enters the cavity through the input lead and undergoes multiple reflections from the cavity wall before exiting through the output lead (transmission) or the input lead (reflection). **d** The maximum transmission of horizontal polarization approaches 1 rapidly with increasing N. The PER scales as N^2 . The symbols represent numerical data and the solid lines are the analytical results

eigenvalues, leading to the bimodal distribution of eigenvalues^{8,38}.

For comparison, we consider the scaling of PER in an MMF without mode mixing. Due to different polarization coupling for individual modes, the probability of retaining the input polarization for all output modes vanishes when the number of modes is large. The best solution to retain the input polarization is to excite the mode with an output polarization closest to the input polarization. As detailed in Supplementary Materials, the PER of the transmitted light scales linearly with N, inferior to the N^2 scaling in the presence of strong mode coupling. This comparison shows that spatial mode mixing greatly enhances the ability of overcoming depolarization.

The above results are obtained when the fiber has negligible loss. If the loss in the fiber is significant, the

eigenvalue density is modified, and the maximum eigenvalue is <1. Consequently, the PER for the eigenvector associated with the maximum eigenvalue of $t_{HH}^{\dagger}t_{HH}$ is reduced. As shown in the Supplementary Materials, regardless of how strong the loss is, the PER of an MMF with mode coupling is always higher than that without mode coupling. Therefore, mode coupling enhances the polarization control regardless of the loss in the fiber. Furthermore, complete polarization control can still be achieved even when the fiber undergoes significant loss, as described in the next subsection.

Polarization conversion

The efficiency of converting the input polarization (H) to the orthogonal polarization (V) is given by the minimum eigenvalue of $t_{HH}^{\dagger}t_{HH}$. When loss in the MMF is



negligible, the minimum eigenvalue of $t_{HH}^{\dagger}t_{HH}$ and the maximum eigenvalue of $t_{VH}^{\dagger}t_{VH}$ correspond to the same eigenvector because $t_{HH}^{\dagger}t_{HH} + t_{VH}^{\dagger}t_{VH} = 1$. When the polarizations are completely mixed, the transmitted field has no memory of its initial polarization; thus, the transmission matrix t_{VH} has the same statistical property as t_{VH} . The eigenvalue density $P(\tau_{VH})$ is identical to $P(\tau_{HH})$ and has a bimodal distribution. We obtain the ensemble-averaged value $\langle \tau_{HH}^{min} \rangle = 1 - \langle \tau_{VH}^{max} \rangle = 1/(N^2 + 1)$ and PER = N^2 . In Supplementary Materials, we provide the analytical expression for its probability density $P(\tau_{HH}^{min})$. When $N \gg 1$, light is almost completely transformed into the orthogonal polarization by spatially coupling light to the eigenvector of $t_{HH}^{\dagger}t_{HH}$ with the minimum eigenvalue.

If the fiber suffers significant loss, the maximum eigenvalue becomes <1, but the minimum eigenvalues remain close to 0. The eigenvector associated with the minimum eigenvalues can be used for complete polarization control, despite the reduced total transmission. For example, if the input light is horizontally polarized, by coupling it to the eigenvector of $t_{VH}^{\dagger}t_{VH}$ with an eigenvalue close to 0, the transmitted light has a vanishing vertical component. Thus, depolarization is avoided, but part of the incident light is lost instead of being transmitted. Additionally, the transmitted light can be

490 | Light Sci Appl | 2018 | Vol 7 | Issue 5

converted to vertical polarization by exciting the eigenvector of $t_{HH}^{\dagger} t_{HH}$ with the minimum eigenvalue.

Thus far, we have considered only the horizontal and vertical polarizations for the input and output fields, but the same concept applies to any polarization state. As long as the polarization of light is completely scrambled in the fiber, all polarization states are equivalent. For example, let us consider the conversion from the horizontally polarized (H) input to the right-hand circular polarized (R) output. The corresponding transmission matrix $t_{RH}^{\dagger}t_{RH}$ has the same eigenvalue density as $t_{HH}^{\dagger}t_{HH}$. With strong mode coupling and negligible loss in the fiber, *P* (τ_{RH}) is bimodal, and the peak at $\tau_{RH} = 1(\tau_{RH} = 0)$ allows full conversion of the horizontal polarization to right (left) circular polarization.

Multi-channel polarization transformation

Let us take one step further: instead of controlling the polarization state of the total transmission, we can have different polarization states for different modes. As an example, we transform the horizontal polarization (H) of the input field (Fig. 3a) into a complex polarization state (A) at the output of an MMF with 60 modes. As shown in Fig. 3b, the polarization state A has the vertical polarization (V) for modes 1–30 and right-hand circular polarization (R) for modes 31–60. The conversion is



achieved by coupling the incident light to the eigenvector of $t_{AH}^{\dagger}t_{AH}$ with the eigenvalue close to 1, when the loss in the fiber is negligible. When the loss is significant, we resort to the output polarization state B that is orthogonal to A. In this case, B has horizontal polarization (H) for modes 1–30 and left-hand circular polarization (L) for modes 31–60. By exciting the eigenvector of $t_{BH}^{\dagger}t_{BH}$ with the eigenvalue close to 0, the output polarization state is orthogonal to B and thus identical to A.

Finally, our scheme can also handle arbitrary input polarization states; that is, individual spatial modes can have different polarizations at the fiber input. By adjusting the input spatial wavefront, arbitrary polarizations can be obtained at the output. See the Supplementary Materials for a detailed illustration of multi-channel polarization transformation. Figure 3c, d presents one example. Therefore, an MMF with strong mode and polarization coupling is capable of transforming arbitrary input polarizations into arbitrary output polarizations with nearly 100% efficiency. Because only the spatial degrees of freedom are deployed at the input, the output intensity in each spatial mode, that is, the distribution of output energy among spatial modes, cannot be controlled. To design not only the polarizations but also the intensities of all output modes, both the spatial and polarization degrees of freedom at the input are utilized.

Experimental demonstration

We experimentally demonstrate complete polarization control of an MMF with strong polarization and mode coupling by wavefront shaping. We characterize the polarization-resolved transmission matrix with an interferometric setup shown in Fig. 4a. A detailed description can be found in the Materials and methods section. To quantify the depolarization in the MMF, we measure the total transmitted intensity I_t as a function of the angle of linear polarizer θ . As shown in Fig. 4b, I_t only exhibits slight (~9%) variations with θ . Furthermore, the output intensity pattern changes with θ , and thus, the individual output channels have distinct polarizations. We compute the correlation function $C(\theta) = \vec{I}(0) \cdot \vec{I}(\theta)$, where $\vec{I}(\theta)$ is a unit vector representing the normalized intensity profile at θ . The decay of $C(\theta)$ in Fig. 4b illustrates the decreasing correlation of the intensity pattern with θ . The insets of



Fig. 4b are the two intensity patterns of the orthogonal polarizations ($\theta = 0$, 90°), which are almost uncorrelated, indicating nearly complete depolarization.

The amplitude of the measured transmission matrix t_{HH} in the LP mode basis is shown in Fig. 4c. Regardless of which mode light is injected into, the output field spreads over all modes, although higher order modes have lower amplitudes due to stronger dissipations. The measured t_{VH} , given in the Supplementary Materials, has similar characteristics. These results confirm strong spatial and polarization mixing in the MMF.

To control the output polarization, we compute the eigenvectors of the experimentally measured $t_{HH}^{\dagger} t_{HH}$. For each eigenvector, the intensities of the horizontal and vertical polarization components in the total transmitted light $I_{\rm H}$ and $I_{\rm V}$ are plotted in Fig. 5. The first eigenvector is associated with the largest eigenvalue, thus having the maximum $I_{\rm H}$ and the minimum $I_{\rm V}$. The eigenvectors are ordered by the value of $I_{\rm H}$ from high to low. The decrease in $I_{\rm H}$ is accompanied by the increase in $I_{\rm V}$. Eventually, $I_{\rm V}$ cannot reach the maximum of $I_{\rm H}$ due to mode-dependent loss in the fiber. Employing the computer-generated phase hologram for a simultaneous phase and amplitude modulation³⁹, we create the input wavefront for the first eigenvector with the SLM and launch it into the fiber. The output intensity patterns of the horizontal and vertical polarizations are recorded (left panel in Fig. 5). Because higher order modes suffer more loss in the fiber, the transmitted light is mainly composed of lower order modes. The horizontal polarization component is much stronger than the vertical one, and the PER is approximately 24. Hence, most of the energy is retained in the input polarization (H), and depolarization is overcome. The experimentally obtained PER is in agreement with the numerical simulation result of a fiber with a comparable amount of loss (see the Supplementary Materials).

A complete conversion to orthogonal polarization (V) is achieved with the eigenvector of $t_{HH}^{\dagger}t_{HH}$ with a small eigenevalue. For example, we choose the 52nd eigenvector, which has a low transmission of the horizontal polarization, and launch its input field profile into the MMF. The measured output intensity patterns are shown in the right panel of Fig. 5, where the transmitted light is dominated by the vertical polarization component. The PER is 43, exceeding that of the first eigenvector. Because the 52nd eigenvector has more contributions from the higher order modes, which undergo a higher attenuation than that of the lower order modes, its transmission is approximately half that of the first eigenvector.

We can convert the horizontally polarized light to any polarization state at the fiber output. For example, to obtain right circular polarization (R), we construct $t_{RH} =$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(t_{HH} - it_{VH})$ from the measured t_{HH} and t_{VH} and couple the incident light to the eigenvector of $t_{RH}^{\dagger} t_{RH}$ with the largest eigenvalue. The output polarization states of the individual LP modes are measured and plotted on a Poincaré sphere, as shown in Fig. 6a. Each arrow represents one mode, and its length stands for the intensity of that mode. All arrows point along the S_3 axis, indicating that all modes are circularly polarized, despite varying intensities. In Fig. 6b, we obtain linear $+45^{\circ}$ polarization by exciting a low transmission eigenchannel of $t_{-45H}^{T}t_{-45H}$, where $t_{-45H} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(t_{HH} - t_{VH})$. Figure 6c shows different polarization states that are experimentally generated with a fixed input polarization (H).



To demonstrate complete polarization control, we create different polarization states for the individual output channels. In addition to the fiber mode basis, the spatial channels can be represented in real space (near-field zone of the fiber distal end) or momentum space (far-field zone). In the following example, we describe the fiber output channels in real space. The output polarization state (C) is designed to have horizontal polarization for the spatial channels within the left half of the fiber crosssection and vertical polarization in the right half. The transmission matrix t_{CH} is constructed by concatenating one half of $t_{\rm HH}$ and the other half of $t_{\rm VH}$. The conversion of polarization from H to C is realized by exciting the highest transmission eigenchannel of $t_{CH}^{\dagger} t_{CH}$. Figure 6d is an image of intensity pattern at the fiber output facet taken by a camera without a polarizer. After the linear polarizer is placed in front of the camera and oriented in the horizontal direction, the right half becomes dark whereas the left half remains bright in Fig. 6e. Once the polarizer rotates in the vertical direction, the right half lights up whereas the left half turns dark in Fig. 6f. Hence, the transmitted field is horizontally polarized in the left half of the fiber facet and vertically polarized in the right half. An additional example is given in Supplementary Materials, which shows that the output field is the left-hand circular polarization (L) in the top half of the fiber facet and righthand circular polarization (R) in the bottom half.

Discussion

Random mode mixing has been regarded as an obstacle for MMF applications, and there have been intensive efforts to reduce or eliminate mode coupling. Instead of battling it, we take advantage of mode mixing for polarization control in a fiber. We demonstrate that strong coupling between the spatial and polarization degrees of freedom in an MMF enable complete control of the output polarization states by manipulating only the spatial input wavefront. A general procedure of finding the spatial wavefront to create an arbitrary polarization state is outlined and experimentally confirmed. The procedure involves the measurement of the polarization-resolved transmission matrix and a selective excitation of the transmission eigenchannels corresponding to the extremal eigenvalues. With the random mixing of all the modes of the different polarizations in the fiber, the probability of having extremal eigenvalues is enhanced by eigenvalue repulsion, which is analogous to a chaotic cavity. We apply the existing theory of chaotic cavities to MMFs, uncovering the connection between the two fields of wave chaos and fiber optics.

The global control of polarization states for MMFs is not only useful for overcoming depolarization in an MMF but also valuable for employing polarization-sensitive imaging techniques of fiber endoscopy and nonlinear microscopy. In this work, we demonstrate polarization control for monochromatic light, which is relevant, for example, to fiber-based fluorescence microscopy with laser excitation. Our scheme of MMF polarization control is applicable at any wavelength, but the input wavefront for a specific output polarization state is wavelength-dependent. The polarization-shaping channels have a finite bandwidth, which corresponds to the spectral correlation width of the MMF (see Supplementary Materials). Nonlinear optics applications often use broad-band short pulses and require a large spectral bandwidth for polarization-shaping channels, which can be achieved with MMFs that have small differential group delay.

Materials and methods

We experimentally test different types of MMFs and obtain similar results. The fiber whose data are presented in Figs. 4-6 has the graded refractive index profile designed to reduce mode-dependent loss (see Supplementary Materials), leading to the highest degree of polarization control. The core diameter of the fiber is $50 \,\mu\text{m}$, and the numerical aperture (NA) is approximately 0.22. The fiber is 2 m long. To enhance mode and polarization mixing in the MMF, the bare fiber is coiled to 5 loops (without a spool) and pressed by 12 clamps that are arranged in a circle. The clamps not only introduce mode and polarization coupling at multiple points in the fiber but also stabilize the fiber (see Supplementary Materials). We characterize the polarization-resolved transmission matrix with an interferometric setup shown in Fig. 4a. A horizontally polarized laser beam at wavelength $\lambda = 1550$ nm is split into a fiber arm and a reference arm. The SLM in the fiber arm prepares the spatial wavefront of light before it is launched into the MMF. To measure the field transmission matrix, plane waves with different wavevectors, covering the range of the fiber NA, are projected onto the input facet of the fiber. The output facet of the fiber is directly imaged by a lens onto a camera. A linear polarizer in front of the camera filters out the polarization component of light transmitted through the fiber. By rotating the linear polarizer, we measure different polarization components of the fiber output. A half-waveplate in the reference arm rotates the polarization direction of the reference beam to match the direction of the linear polarizer. The reference beam then combines with the fiber output beam at a beam splitter, and their interference fringes are recorded by a camera. Using off-axis holography, we extract the amplitude and phase of the field exiting the fiber with the same polarization as the reference⁴⁰. The transmission matrix is measured with the input in the momentum (wavevector) basis and the output in real space. Then, we perform a basis transformation to represent the matrix in the fiber LP mode basis, as shown in Fig. 4c. By rotating the linear polarizer and the half-waveplate, the transmission matrices for both the horizontal and vertical polarizations are measured. After computing the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the transmission matrix, we generate the input wavefronts of the individual eigenvectors with the SLM. To modulate both the amplitude and phase of the input field with a phase-only SLM, a computer-generated phase hologram is employed, and a pinhole on the back focal plane of the lens in the fiber arm filters out the first order diffraction pattern of the SLM³⁹.

Acknowledgements

We thank H. Yilmaz, S. Gertler, S. Rotter, and T. Kottos for stimulating discussions. This work is supported by the US National Science Foundation under Grant No. ECCS-1509361.

Author details

¹Department of Applied Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA. ²Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel. ³CREOL, The College of Optics and Photonics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, USA

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41377-018-0047-4.

Received: 29 January 2018 Revised: 4 June 2018 Accepted: 7 June 2018 Accepted article preview online: 4 July 2018 Published online: 8 August 2018

References

- Guan, Y. F., Katz, O., Small, E., Zhou, J. Y. & Silberberg, Y. Polarization control of multiply scattered light through random media by wavefront shaping. *Opt. Lett.* **37**, 4663–4665 (2012).
- Park, J. H., Park, C., Yu, H., Cho, Y. H. & Park, Y. Dynamic active wave plate using random nanoparticles. *Opt. Express* 20, 17010–17016 (2012).
- Tripathi, S., Paxman, R., Bifano, T. & Toussaint, K. C. Vector transmission matrix for the polarization behavior of light propagation in highly scattering media. *Opt. Express* 20, 16067–16076 (2012).
- Tripathi, S. & Toussaint, K. C. Harnessing randomness to control the polarization of light transmitted through highly scattering media. *Opt. Express* 22, 4412–4422 (2014).
- de Aguiar, H. B., Gigan, S. & Brasselet, S. Polarization recovery through scattering media. *Sci. Adv.* 3, e1600743 (2017).
- Kiesewetter, D. V. Polarisation characteristics of light from multimode optical fibres. *Quantum Electron.* 40, 519–524 (2010).
- Mosk, A. P., Lagendijk, A., Lerosey, G. & Fink, M. Controlling waves in space and time for imaging and focusing in complex media. *Nat. Photonics* 6, 283–292 (2012).
- Rotter, S. & Gigan, S. Light fields in complex media: mesoscopic scattering meets wave control. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 89, 015005 (2017).
- Čižmár, T. & Dholakia, K. Shaping the light transmission through a multimode optical fibre: complex transformation analysis and applications in biophotonics. *Opt. Express* **19**, 18871–18884 (2011).
- Čižmár, T. & Dholakia, K. Exploiting multimode waveguides for pure fibrebased imaging. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 1027 (2012).
- Choi, Y. et al. Scanner-free and wide-field endoscopic imaging by using a single multimode optical fiber. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 203901 (2012).
- Caravaca-Aguirre, A. M., Niv, E., Conkey, D. B. & Piestun, R. Real-time resilient focusing through a bending multimode fiber. *Opt. Express* **21**, 12881–12887 (2013).
- Gu, R. Y., Mahalati, R. N. & Kahn, J. M. Design of flexible multi-mode fiber endoscope. *Opt. Express* 23, 26905–26918 (2015).

- Sivankutty, S. et al. Extended field-of-view in a lensless endoscope using an aperiodic multicore fiber. Opt. Lett. 41, 3531–3534 (2016).
- Porat, A. et al. Widefield lensless imaging through a fiber bundle via speckle correlations. *Opt. Express* 24, 16835–16855 (2016).
- Caravaca-Aguirre, A. M. & Piestun, R. Single multimode fiber endoscope. Opt. Express 25, 1656–1665 (2017).
- Redding, B. & Cao, H. Using a multimode fiber as a high-resolution, low-loss spectrometer. *Opt. Lett.* 37, 3384–3386 (2012).
- Redding, B., Alam, M., Seifert, M. & Cao, H. High-resolution and broadband allfiber spectrometers. *Optica* 1, 175–180 (2014).
- 20. Wan, N. H. et al. High-resolution optical spectroscopy using multimode interference in a compact tapered fibre. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7762 (2015).
- Brasselet, S. Polarization-resolved nonlinear microscopy: application to structural molecular and biological imaging. Adv. Opt. Photonics 3, 205 (2011).
- Stasio, N., Moser, C. & Psaltis, D. Calibration-free imaging through a multicore fiber using speckle scanning microscopy. *Opt. Lett.* **41**, 3078–3081 (2016).
- Wright, L. G., Christodoulides, D. N. & Wise, F. W. Controllable spatiotemporal nonlinear effects in multimode fibres. *Nat. Photonics* 9, 306–310 (2015).
- Wright, L. G. et al. Self-organized instability in graded-index multimode fibres. Nat. Photonics 10, 771–776 (2016).
- Defienne, H., Barbieri, M., Walmsley, I. A., Smith, B. J. & Gigan, S. Two-photon guantum walk in a multimode fiber. *Sci. Adv.* 2, e1501054 (2016).
- Israel, Y., Tenne, R., Oron, D. & Silberberg, Y. Quantum correlation enhanced super-resolution localization microscopy enabled by a fibre bundle camera. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 14786 (2017).
- Richardson, D. J., Fini, J. M. & Nelson, L. E. Space-division multiplexing in optical fibres. *Nat. Photonics* 7, 354–362 (2013).

- Doya, V., Legrand, O. & Mortessagne, F. Optimized absorption in a chaotic double-clad fiber amplifier. *Opt. Lett.* 26, 872–874 (2001).
- Michel, C., Doya, V., Legrand, O. & Mortessagne, F. Selective amplification of scars in a chaotic optical fiber. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 224101 (2007).
- Fridman, M., Nixon, M., Dubinskii, M., Friesem, A. A. & Davidson, N. Principal modes in fiber amplifiers. *Opt. Lett.* 36, 388–390 (2011).
- Fridman, M., Suchowski, H., Nixon, M., Friesem, A. A. & Davidson, N. Modal dynamics in multimode fibers. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 29, 541–544 (2012).
- Chen, H. et al. Integrated cladding-pumped multicore few-mode erbiumdoped fibre amplifier for space-division-multiplexed communications. *Nat. Photonics* 10, 529–533 (2016).
- Florentin, R. et al. Shaping the light amplified in a multimode fiber. Light Sci. Appl. 6, e16208 (2017).
- Okamoto, K. Fundamentals of Optical Waveguides (Academic Press, New York, 2010).
- Ho, K. P. & Kahn, J. M. Statistics of group delays in multimode fiber with strong mode coupling. J. Light. Technol. 29, 3119–3128 (2011).
- Baranger, H. U. & Mello, P. A. Mesoscopic transport through chaotic cavities: a random S-matrix theory approach. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 73, 142–145 (1994).
- Jalabert, R. A., Pichard, J. L. & Beenakker, C. W. J. Universal quantum signatures of chaos in ballistic transport. *Europhys. Lett.* 27, 255 (1994).
- Beenakker, C. W. J. Random-matrix theory of quantum transport. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 69, 731 (1997).
- Arrizón, V., Ruiz, U., Carrada, R. & González, L. A. Pixelated phase computer holograms for the accurate encoding of scalar complex fields. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 24, 3500–3507 (2007).
- Xiong, W. et al. Spatiotemporal control of light transmission through a multimode fiber with strong mode coupling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 053901 (2016).