ARTICLE

Open Access

High-resolution impedance mapping using electrically activated quantitative phase imaging

Cristina Polonschii¹^(b), Mihaela Gheorghiu¹^(b), Sorin David¹^(b), Szilveszter Gáspár^(b), Sorin Melinte¹^(c), Hassaan Majeed¹^(b), Mikhail E. Kandel¹^(b), Gabriel Popescu¹^(b) and Eugen Gheorghiu¹^(b)

Abstract

Retrieving electrical impedance maps at the nanoscale rapidly via nondestructive inspection with a high signal-tonoise ratio is an unmet need, likely to impact various applications from biomedicine to energy conversion. In this study, we develop a multimodal functional imaging instrument that is characterized by the dual capability of impedance mapping and phase quantitation, high spatial resolution, and low temporal noise. To achieve this, we advance a quantitative phase imaging system, referred to as epi-magnified image spatial spectrum microscopy combined with electrical actuation, to provide complementary maps of the optical path and electrical impedance. We demonstrate our system with high-resolution maps of optical path differences and electrical impedance variations that can distinguish nanosized, semi-transparent, structured coatings involving two materials with relatively similar electrical properties. We map heterogeneous interfaces corresponding to an indium tin oxide layer exposed by holes with diameters as small as ~550 nm in a titanium (dioxide) over-layer deposited on a glass support. We show that electrical modulation during the phase imaging of a macro-electrode is decisive for retrieving electrical impedance distributions with submicron spatial resolution and beyond the limitations of electrode-based technologies (surface or scanning technologies). The findings, which are substantiated by a theoretical model that fits the experimental data very well enable achieving electro-optical maps with high spatial and temporal resolutions. The virtues and limitations of the novel optoelectrochemical method that provides grounds for a wider range of electrically modulated optical methods for measuring the electric field locally are critically discussed.

Introduction

Optically transparent electrodes decorated with nanometer- to micrometer-scale light-absorbing structures have been increasingly used in the conversion of solar energy into electricity¹ and in the light-enhanced electrochemical synthesis of important chemicals (e.g., H_2 from water² and CO from CO_2^{-3}). These electrodes also play an important role in biosensor development⁴ and the functional characterization of biological materials from individual living cells to tissue structures⁵.

© The Author(s) 2021

While there are several methods that investigate the topography of such electrodes with a high spatial resolution (atomic force microscopy (AFM), scanning electron microscopy, etc.), we are still in need of reliable and fast methods that allow functional imaging. Ideally, such techniques will report on the dynamics of electrochemical storage and conversion and perform adequately at various scales down to the single nanoparticle (NP) level^{6,7}. Electrical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is an estab-

lished technique used for functional characterization that, when performed across the entire sample, provides an overall quantitative description of the electrical properties of the sample at a certain moment. Studying heterogeneous structures, such as cells, biological tissue, or polydisperse particle mixtures, requires adding spatial

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

LSA

Correspondence: Eugen Gheorghiu (egheorghiu@biodyn.ro) or Gabriel Popescu (gpopescu@illinois.edu)

¹International Centre of Biodynamics, 060101 Bucharest, Romania ²Institute of Information and Communication Technologies, Electronics and Applied Mathematics, Université Catholique de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-Ia-Neuve, Belgium

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

resolution to time-lapse impedance assays. Several spatially resolved impedance measurement techniques have been developed and applied to the surface, cell, and particle research, including scanning (electrochemical) probe microscopy and, especially for biological applications, microelectrode arrays. Using extensive nano/microfabrication techniques, EIS measurements managed to a certain extent to bridge the gap between the macro-and microscale electrical properties of inhomogeneous samples. However, this result comes at the price of a reduced time resolution, limited signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), and increased costs.

For example, the spatial resolution of scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM)⁸, which is a typical method for obtaining electrochemical impedance images of planar samples, was brought into the nanometer range by scanning nanoelectrodes and pipettes. However, the temporal resolution of this technique is still in the severalminutes range, making the method unsuitable for imaging a surface whose properties are rapidly changing⁹. Moreover, images obtained by SECM are always a convolution of the properties of the sample and the (often shifting) properties of the probe¹⁰.

Scanning Kelvin probe microscopy (SKPM) is another high spatial resolution method that can provide detailed electrochemical information but not directly on the impedance distribution but on the surface potential maps. Similar to SECM, SKPM is characterized by low temporal resolution and is prone to cantilever effects¹¹. In addition, SKPM is limited to investigations in a vacuum, air, or electrically insulating, nonpolar liquids¹².

Optical-based approaches¹³ exploit the sensitive dependency of the refractive index or the surface charge density changes at the electrode-sample interface on the effect of electrochemical processes towards electrochemical impedance microscopy (EIM) concepts. Similar to traditional EIS, optical-based EIS involves applying an AC electrical perturbation onto the electrode-solution interface that leads to a distribution of the electric field modulated by the sample impedance. However, instead of measuring the current that is generated in response to the perturbation, the related variations in the optical properties of the interface are assessed using optical means. Such a route, e.g., surface plasmon resonance microscopy¹³, has been used to obtain electrical impedance images of cells, intracellular processes^{14,15}, and protein microarrays¹⁶. The ability to assess electrochemical reactions with plasmonic-based EIM (P-EIM)^{15,17,18} has also been reported. Accordingly, P-EIM was demonstrated to be an elegant way to measure localized currents and thus localized electrical impedances, despite having a limited SNR (mostly due to speckles), requiring dedicated optical set-ups and substrates with plasmonic features. Label-free, rapid, and high-quality multimodal imaging encompassing electrical impedance remains highly desirable.

Quantitative phase imaging (QPI) has emerged as a powerful, sensitive modality for label-free imaging with nanoscale sensitivity¹⁹ and, recently, (computational) specificity²⁰. QPI enables extracting structural and dynamic information from both opaque and transparent (including live cells) samples without photodamage or photobleaching $^{21-24}$, which is of relevance for investigating interface phenomena^{25,26}. The retrieval of dye-free virtual fluorescence images from phase imaging was recently demonstrated in a new microscopy concept, artificial intelligence-enabled, referred to as phase imaging with computational specificity²⁰. From the various constructive OPI approaches that have been reported²⁷⁻²⁹, it has been shown that common path approaches offer the benefit of high phase stability suitable for long time-lapse assays. These intrinsically stable methods include diffraction phase microscopy³⁰, spatial light interference microscopy³¹, gradient light interference microscopy^{32,33}, and magnified image spatial spectrum (MISS) microscopy³⁴. The versatility, stability, and quantitative features of QPI are essential for high-quality multimodal imaging encompassing electrical impedance. Moreover, the use of transmission and reflected light microscopy, at the core of QPI assays, has been recently reported as a powerful complement to plasmonic-based microscopy to enable improvement in the spatial resolution of electrochemical sensing^{7,35}.

This study presents electrically coupled epi-MISS (elepi-MISS), which combines MISS in reflection geometry, not reported before, with electrical actuation and plain conductive (but non-plasmonic) probes coupled with a non-faradaic assay to yield high spatial resolution maps of the optical phase (QPI) and electrical impedance. The system combines laser reflected light MISS microscopy³⁴ for high-speed QPI with the specific control of the electrode surface charge density changes using low frequency and relatively low magnitude AC electric fields. The dielectric constant of the electrode-liquid interface is thus electrically driven by modulating the double-layer charging in conjunction with the sample electrical impedance fingerprint. Based on this phenomenon, spatial and time processing, we show that el-epi-MISS can be effectively used with QPI and quantitatively related to impedance contrasts at a spatial resolution not accessible before.

Our method is validated by using calibration chips with surfaces designed to provide optical and conductivity contrast at the nanoscale. The spatial resolution is confirmed by AFM and the optical impedance assay by traditional electric impedance assays.

Results

Development of a novel set-up enabling epi-MISS and elepi-MISS

A system enabling electrically actuated, time-lapse epi-MISS imaging was developed around an inverted microscope platform (Fig. 1). The system combines a novel MISS set-up³⁴ in reflection (epi) mode (Fig. 1a), an optoelectrochemical cell comprising counter, reference, and working electrodes (CE, RE, and WE), and an electrical AC signal generator (Fig. 1b). This new system yields with high spatial resolution the following quantities: (1) the quantitative phase map (epi-MISS) via the DC component of the electrically actuated time-lapse MISS images and (2) the electrical impedance map of the sample via the distribution of the phase-amplitude oscillation at the frequency of the AC electric field (el-epi-MISS).

Development of a calibration chip providing electrical and optical contrast at the nanoscale

A dedicated calibration chip was fabricated to validate the capability of the proposed optoelectrochemical method (Fig. 2). This chip was designed to carry nanometer-sized, disk-shaped areas with optical and electrical properties that are different from those of the background. The chip is integrated as the WE in an electrochemical cell (Fig. 1b) configured with a transparent dielectric coating. The chip, fabricated via sequential deposition, comprises a continuous indium tin oxide (ITO) layer and a perforated titanium dioxide (TiO_2) upper layer with ~550 nm diameter holes exposing the underneath ITO layer. Note that the TiO₂ layer has a higher reflectivity than the ITO-exposed surfaces. In terms of electrical contrast, both materials are semiconductors, and their different interfacial electrical properties are expected to provide a contrast that is dependent on the frequency of the applied electrical modulation. Importantly, to highlight the sensitivity of our technique, we constructed the calibration chip to display areas with rather subtle differences in terms of electrical properties; both ITO and TiO_2 are semiconductors, rather than coarse electrical contrast between, for instance, a dielectric vs. a conductor. For example, a calibration chip featuring only











distinct time points. **c** 2D phase map averaged over 176 frames (epi-MISS). **d** Time series of the phase variation (for one point in the field of view) upon AC electrical actuation at 0.5 Hz (black) and without AC (red); the actuation signal is depicted in green. **e** Frequency spectra derived from individual pixels time series in (**d**). **f** 2D map of the optical phase modulation amplitude, $\delta \Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y)$, derived from the bandpassing signal at approximately 0.5 Hz (el-epi-MISS); the dotted yellow line indicates the polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) layer delimiting the electrochemical cell; due to its transparency and isolator nature, the PDMS layer is only visible in the map with electrical contrast

nanostructured, perforated TiO_2 deposited directly onto glass will have displayed areas with drastic differences in terms of electrical properties. In our set-up, the dielectric reference is offered by the transparent polymer over-layer used to configure the electrochemical cell.

Optimized protocol to derive 2D maps with topographical (phase) and electrical contrasts

As shown in Fig. 3a, each frame acquired over a rectangular area of the chip represents an interferogram that allows the reconstruction of a quantitative phase map $\Phi(x, y)$ via a Hilbert transform

LSA

method³⁶. A heightmap can be further estimated based on the individual pixel quantitative phase information (Fig. 3b), according to:

$$\mathbf{h}(x,y) = \frac{\Phi(x,y) \cdot \lambda_0}{2 \cdot 2\pi \cdot \Delta n} \tag{1}$$

where h(x, y) and $\Phi(x, y)$ are the height and optical phase profiles, respectively, Δn is the refractive index difference (1.33 for our conditions), and λ_0 is the wavelength of the incident light in a vacuum (532 nm for the laser used in our experiments). In reflection, the light travels to the interface and back, requiring an additional factor of 2 in the denominator of Eq. (1) to account for the double pass. The time average over the image sequence $\Phi(x, y, t)$ provides the topography of the sample (Fig. 3c).

The dependence of the measured optical phase values, $\Phi(x, y, t)$, on the applied AC electric field (Fig. 3d) was demodulated using a discrete Fourier transform (DFT). From the resulting temporal power spectrum bandpassed around the modulation frequency, we precisely extracted the amplitude $\delta \Phi(\omega, x_i, y_i)$ of the optical phase modulation as a function of the applied AC electrical signal (Fig. 3e) at every pixel (x_i, y_i) in the QPI image. The resulting map $\delta \Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y)$ provides the el-epi-MISS image of interest at the frequency of the AC external electric voltage (Fig. 3f). To minimize the DFT calculation errors, we analyzed the time series over intervals that correspond to an integer number of periods (inverse of the applied AC frequency).

Figure 3c, f illustrates the capability of the proposed approach to reveal both optical and electrical impedance contrast. El-epi-MISS imaging offers a quantitative way to reveal the electrical heterogeneity and contrast of the electrified interfaces, complementing the topographic information. Note that the dielectric over-layer (in green in Fig. 2) is visible only in the el-epi-MISS image (Fig. 3f). Furthermore, high spatial resolution impedance contrast is evident in the area not covered with a dielectric overlay, with distinct spots of higher impedance appearing darker than the surrounding lower impedance regions.

To enable a comparison with the topography map (in Fig. 3c and Supplementary materials, Fig. S3), we expressed the el-epi-MISS image in terms of both the optical phase modulation amplitude $\delta \Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y)$, which is the actual representation of the electric field modulation according to the Theoretical Section (see Fig. 3f) and the equivalent height modulation $\delta h(x, y) = \frac{\delta \Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y) \cdot \lambda_0}{2 \cdot 2\pi \cdot \Delta m}$, presented in Supplementary materials, Fig. S3b.

El-epi-MISS figures of merit

The holes in the TiO_2 over-layer are clearly visible in the epi-MISS images (Fig. 3b). While this phase contrast is due to the lower reflectivity of the TiO_2 -free regions, the quantitative feature of epi-MISS maps (Fig. 3c) provides a clear estimation of the TiO_2 layer thickness. Our results indicate an average thickness of $\sim 50 \pm 5$ nm, which is close to the value targeted by our fabrication design. Figure S3 in the Supplementary materials provides a thorough comparison between these optical measurements and those derived from AFM data.

We validated our spatial resolution by comparing the diameters of the TiO_2 holes measured by epi-MISS with those measured by AFM. We obtained 470 ± 70 nm, which compares well with the AFM results, of 551 ± 42 nm, as shown in Fig. S3 of the Supplementary materials.

Having a common path geometry¹⁹, epi-MISS, and elepi-MISS are characterized by low temporal path-length noise. The temporal noise of el-epi-MISS is given by the standard deviation of the DFT phase-amplitude at the electrical actuation frequency for each pixel and is ~ $0.3 \pm$ 0.1° (Supplementary materials), yielding an SNR of ~30 dB for the electroactive area (*SNR* = 10Log[10, (*S*/*N*)²]). Notably, a similar noise level of ~0.3° is obtained without electrical modulation.

To prove that the el-epi-MISS images (Fig. 3f) effectively reveal the electrical impedance distribution of the structured interface, we used the frequency modulation of the electrical actuation of the el-epi-MISS data in a matching domain with classic EIS measurements of the macro-electrodes.

The dependence of the optical phase oscillation amplitude on the electrical field modulation is described in the Methods section (see "Theoretical model"). This theoretical model allows us to extract the magnitude of the electrical impedance, Z_t (ω_{AC} , x, y), as a function of the measured $\delta\Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y)$, namely:

$$Z_t(\omega_{AC}, x, y) \approx \frac{\alpha_{phase}}{S \cdot \omega_{AC}} \cdot \frac{\delta V_{AC}}{\delta \Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y)}$$
(2)

where α_{phase} is a constant (C⁻¹ m⁻¹), dependent on the electrode chip structure, *S* is the electrode surface, ω_{AC} is the angular frequency for the AC field, and δV_{AC} is the magnitude of the applied electric potential.

El-epi-MISS images were acquired over the same chip area with δV_{AC} kept at the same value of 0.58 V and varying the AC field frequencies between 0.2 Hz and 1.6 Hz. The amplitude of the optical phase variation as a function of the applied AC electrical signal representative of ITO and TiO₂ materials was derived from averaging specific areas over 3×3 pixels (i.e., 10^4 nm²), corresponding to the ITO and TiO₂ regions (i.e., inside and outside holes). According to Fig. 4a, the amplitude of the phase variation as a function of the applied AC electrical signal reveals quantitative differences between the two materials, indicative of different impedances over the entire applied frequency range.



 $(Z_t(\omega_{AC}, x, y))$



To quantitatively compare the frequency-dependent elepi-MISS-derived data to the conventional EIS data (Fig. 4b), the macroscopic impedance of the same TiO₂-coated electrode was measured by EIS between 0.2 and 1.6 Hz. The modulus of the macroscopic impedance (*Z*) was compared to the el-epi-MISS-derived TiO₂ impedance since more than 70% of the exposed surface is TiO₂. Figure 4b shows a good match between the impedance module values derived by the conventional EIS assay and el-epi-MISS. Moreover, as revealed by Fig. 4b, based on Eq. (2), we experimentally derived the value of the linear coefficient $\alpha_{\text{phase}} \sim 17 \text{ C}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-1}$ (S~1 mm², $\delta V_{AC} = 0.58 \text{ V}$).

Based on Eq. (2), an impedance map of the nanopatterned surface is derived (Fig. 5a), and the profiles corresponding to holes in the TiO_2 layer an ~500 nm diameter (Fig. 5b), irrespective of the analyzed frequency (Fig. 5c). A thorough analysis of the hole diameters in elepi-MISS images (see Supplementary materials Fig. S3b) highlights a 513 ± 48 nm average diameter, which compares well with the diameter revealed by AFM measurements ($551 \pm 42 \text{ nm}$).

Discussion

Optical imaging with electrical field modulation contains quantitative information on both the double-layer charging and faradaic currents and can be used to perform electrochemical assays, e.g., impedance spectroscopy and voltammetry (cyclic and square-wave), in an analogous way to traditional electrochemical methods^{15,37,38}. This finding was previously demonstrated with plasmonic chips and P-EIM assays. Due to the inherently restricted signal-to-noise ratio and the limitations that are typically associated with plasmonic chips and P-EIM^{38–40} assays e.g., the dependency on the wavelength, the polarization and angle of incidence of the illumination, the orientation-dependent propagation length restricting the spatial resolution, alternative high-resolution (microelectrode free) impedance maps of model nanostructured interfaces have been constantly pursued. Our approach fulfills this need and demonstrates improved optical and electrical resolution based on the spatial and temporal FT processing algorithms associated with a multimodal imaging system. Our results are consistent with previous reports showing that the surface charge density modulates optical information issued by other imaging methods⁷ and rely on a modular QPI format to decouple the geometrical (thickness) and electro-optical (complex refractive index) variations.

Progressing from the limitations associated with plasmonic chips^{13,18} and the use of chemical mediators¹⁸, we exploit QPI and ITO surfaces for the mediator-free, highresolution mapping of the electrical impedance and of the optical path differences of reproducible patterns on top of transparent (semi)conductive surfaces.

Using electrically modulated reflection-geometry MISS (el-epi-MISS), we demonstrate the real-time assessment of QPI and high spatial resolution magnitude impedance maps of model nanostructured interfaces. Thus, optically transparent chips with rather subtle differences in terms of electrical properties are mapped with submicrometer lateral resolution. In addition, we describe the theoretical formalism that relates the electrical modulation of the refractive index to the variation in the reflected field phase.

Our el-epi-MISS approach was validated by classic EIS measurements. Notably, the amplitude of the optical phase oscillation at the electrical actuation frequency provides a consistent response with conventional measurements (EIS) and with our theoretical model for the tested frequency range.

The proposed method presents the following capabilities:

- a. Assessment of inhomogeneous electrical properties with high spatial and temporal resolution. As such, electrical contrasts associated with material inhomogeneity (both electrical and structural as well as the presence of dielectric nanoscale features) are quantitatively imaged with limited processing requirements at high speed and at high resolution. Our approach outperforms high-end SECM instruments in terms of speed and P-EIM in terms of spatial resolution. Moreover, QPI eliminates several strict requirements for P-EIS microscopy, such as the dependence on the wavelength, the polarization, and the angle of incidence. This allows us to obtain an isotropic spatial resolution, independent of the propagation length in P-EIS.
- b. The electrical and optical properties are analyzed using common sensing surfaces (such as transparent conductive electrodes that are widely utilized as biointerfaces and as light-harvesting elements) and not dedicated chips as for P-EIS. P-EIS requires thin

films (mostly made of Au) with a well-defined thickness of approximately 50 nm. However, QPI can be carried out on a larger variety of materials and thicknesses, as demonstrated with the ITO conductive thin film used as WE.

- c. El-epi-MISS renders accurate quantitative phase/ topographic maps of the specimen tested. The hole dimensions provided by AFM (Supplementary materials Fig. S3) are consistent with the values provided by the el-epi-MISS assays. Interestingly, the dimension of the el-epi-MISS-derived average hole diameter is closer to the AFM results, highlighting an improvement in the spatial sensitivity of the electrical assay.
- d. The proposed approach adds a whole new capability to quantitative phase microscopy assays, for example, impedance mapping beyond the spatiotemporal resolution provided by micro/nanoelectrodes as scanning probes or microelectrode arrays.
- e. El-epi-MISS microscopy does not involve intricate developments; it can be implemented as an upgrade module to an existing inverted microscope, converting it into a powerful multimodal imaging instrument. Unlike P-EIS, el-epi-MISS has a much simpler optical set-up, without the need for a specific angle of incidence or advanced optics.

Thus, we anticipate that el-epi-MISS will be adopted broadly for both materials and life sciences (including biomedical) applications.

The formalism discussed in this work is general; it encompasses the core of the electro-optical phenomena and applies as well to other electro-optical methods, e.g., plasmonics^{13,41}, with particular values for the respective linear coefficient (i.e., α_{phase}), and in principle to impedance assays in any radio-frequency domain.

However, certain limitations that apply to both el-epi-MISS and P-EIM are worth mentioning. Specifically, the frequency of the AC field, at the denominator of the cause-effect relation, Eq. (2) (as highlighted in the present study for non-faradaic approaches), is a limiting factor. It restricts the applicability of electro-optical assays to frequencies below ~hundreds of kHz, even if, now available⁴², cameras with megahertz frame rates are deployed.

Materials and methods

The el-epi-MISS system

In MISS microscopy, the reference field for QPI is generated using a GRIN lens $(350 \,\mu\text{m} \text{ diameter}, \text{ an} \text{ effective focal length of } 300 \,\mu\text{m})$ to magnify the Fourier transform of the zero-order to the point where the DC component fills the camera sensor³⁴. MISS is characterized by low spatiotemporal path-length noise $(0.6 \,\text{nm} \text{ temporal noise and } 2.8 \,\text{nm} \text{ spatial noise floor})^{36}$. Due to the more efficient collection of the reference order and

R

highly stable low noise statistics, MISS is ideal for highthroughput QPI investigations, such as dynamic light scattering and cellular membrane mechanics³⁴ assays.

The light emitted by the laser diode laser (LMX-532L-100-COL-PP, Oxxius, France) at 532 nm was fiber-coupled, collimated, and used as an epi-illumination source for an inverted microscope (Axio Observer Z1, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). All imaging was carried out using a 100× oil immersion objective (1.46 NA, WD = 0.11 mm). The nanostructured slide was illuminated from the bottom, and the reflection image plane exiting the microscope was relayed to an EMCCD camera (iXon^{EM} + 885, Andor, Belfast, UK) using a 4f system based on lenses L_1 and L_2 (Fig. 1a). A diffraction grating (Edmund Optics, New Jersey, USA; 110 lp/mm) was placed precisely at the image plane outside the microscope to separate the image field into multiple orders, each containing complete information about the object. At the Fourier plane of L_1 , the zeroth diffraction order was passed through a GRIN lens L_3 (Edmund Optics), while the first diffraction order passed through unaffected. In combination with L_2 , lens L_3 formed a 4f system that produces a highly magnified image of the zeroth-order Fourier spectrum at the camera plane³⁴.

For the el-epi-MISS measurements, the time series of variable length (between 200 and 400 frames) of the reflectivity interferograms of magnified images of actuated nanostructured surfaces were acquired at up to 21.3 frames per second when driven by sinusoidal fields with frequencies between 0.2 and 1.6 Hz (0.2, 0.4, 0.5, 0.8, 1.6 Hz). The system was actuated by applying a signal of 0.58 V, suitable to drive stable oscillations of the optical intensity.

When illuminated with laser light and imaged in the reflection mode using the set-up in Fig. 1, each interferogram was processed based on the spatial Fourier transform³⁶ to reconstruct the phase map of the optical contrast of the chip structure.

The dependence of the phase map $\Phi(x, y)$ on the applied electric actuation (V_{AC} amplitude and frequency) for each pixel was reflected in the time series of the quantitative phase images $\Phi(t, x, y)$ that were analyzed by a DFT performed on each pixel of the phase map $\Phi(x, y)$ in the time domain.

The resulting map of the amplitude of the oscillatory response of the quantitative phase values $\delta \Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y)$ upon actuation, derived from DFT at the applied frequency, provides the el-epi-MISS image.

Signal generation and conventional electrical measurements

An impedance analyzer (Solartron 1260, Hampshire, UK) and a potentiostat (CellTest 1470E, Solartron) were used to impose AC actuation over the microfluidic electrochemical cell assessed using both el-epi-MISS and conventional EIS measurements. The electrochemical cell consists of a three-electrode system: miniaturized Ag/ AgCl, 3M KCl, (World Precision Instruments, Florida, USA) as the RE, Pt wire as the CE, and the nanopatterned surface as the WE, exposed to the electrolyte in a PDMS gasket (Fig. 1b).

Nanopatterned chip

Figure 2 presents the fabrication steps of the nanopatterned chips. First, an ITO layer was deposited on a glass substrate by physical vapor deposition. Then, nanopatterning was achieved via colloidal lithography. This operation requires the self-assembly of close-packed polystyrene (PS) spheres 980 nm in diameter on the ITO substrate. The PS spheres were further etched with reactive ion etching to the desired size, representing the final diameter (~550 nm). Subsequently, TiO₂ was deposited on the PS-decorated ITO surface. The nanopatterned chip was obtained by lift-off of the PS spheres with adhesive tape, followed by a cleaning step. The detailed protocol is presented in the Supplementary materials.

Materials

All electrical measurements were performed in a phosphate-buffered saline solution (pH 7.4) containing 137 mM NaCl, 10 mM phosphate, and 2.7 mM KCl as the running buffer. Ultrapure water (Merck Millipore, Guyancourt, France) was used throughout the preparations. All chemical reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Missouri, USA), were of analytical grade, and were used without further purification.

Theoretical model

This section and the Supplementary materials substantiate the background to measure the electric field locally with an optical phase method.

The physical and chemical phenomena that occur when an electric field is applied at the electrode–liquid interface have been extensively discussed in the literature^{43,44}.

Different mechanisms take place at this interface and can be responsible for altering the refractive index of the interface and the optical phase values, namely, the changes in the Helmholtz double-layer, electronic density, and oxidation of the electrode film. These phenomena depend on both the electrode material and the solution in contact with the electrode as well as on the amplitude of the applied potential. According to Gouy–Chapman theory, the surface charge density at the electrode–electrolyte interface for symmetric electrolyte is equal to⁴⁵:

$$\sigma = (8kT \cdot \varepsilon_l \varepsilon_0 \cdot n)^{1/2} \sinh \frac{z \cdot e \cdot (\phi_0 - \phi_s)}{2kT}$$
(3)

where *k* is the Boltzmann constant, *T* is the absolute temperature, ε_l is the dielectric constant of the electrolyte solution, ε_0 is the permittivity of vacuum, *n* is the bulk

(R) -

concentration of charges, z is the valence of the electrolyte, e is the elementary electric charge, ϕ_0 is the electrostatic potential at the electrode–electrolyte interface, and ϕ_s is the electrostatic potential at the outer Helmholtz layer.

Based on the Drude–Lorentz model^{46–48}, one can derive the dependence of the components of the dielectric constant of the thin layer ε_{tl} of the solid facing the electrolyte (with the thickness equal to the penetration depth of the quasi-static electromagnetic field) on the surface charge distribution, as well as the related variations due to an AC electric field⁴⁹:

$$\delta arepsilon_{tl}'(\omega_{AC}, x, y) = -(arepsilon_{tl}' - 1) \cdot rac{\delta \sigma(\omega_{AC}, x, y)}{n_e \cdot e \cdot d_{tl}} \ \delta arepsilon_{tl}'(\omega_{AC}, x, y) = arepsilon_{tl}' rac{\delta \sigma(\omega_{AC}, x, y)}{n_e \cdot e \cdot d_{tl}}$$

$$(4)$$

where d_{tl} is the penetration depth of the electric field (the Thomas–Fermi screening length) within the electrode at the electrode–liquid interface, ε_{tl} ($\varepsilon_{tl} = \varepsilon'_{tl} + j\varepsilon''_{tl}$) is the free-electron contribution to the dielectric constant of the electrode, and n_e is the free-electron density of the bulk solid. Corresponding to the same material, ε_{tl} differs from ε_{el} due to the biasing effect of the DC value of the electrode potential, which is additionally modulated by the local AC field.

According to equations (s1) and (s2) in the Supplementary materials, the AC electrically modulated surface charge distribution at the electrode–liquid interface is:

$$\delta\sigma(\omega_{AC}, x, y) \approx -\varepsilon_{l}\varepsilon_{0}\delta\frac{\partial\phi_{l}(\omega_{AC}, x, y, z \to 0)}{\partial z}$$
(5)

where $\phi_l(\omega_{AC}, x, y, z \rightarrow 0)$ is the local AC electric potential in liquid at the electrode–liquid interface and ε_l is the relative permittivity of the liquid. Since our assay involves non-faradaic currents^{13,50} (low applied potentials), the variation in the surface charge density is related to sample impedance (for derivation, see equations $(s_3)-(s_5)$) via:

$$\delta\sigma(\omega_{AC}, x, y) = \frac{\delta V_{AC}}{\omega_{AC} \cdot S \cdot Z_t(\omega_{AC}, x, y)}$$
(6)

Consequently, one obtains:

$$\delta \varepsilon_{tl}'(\omega_{AC}, x, y) = -\frac{(\varepsilon_{tl}' - 1)}{e \cdot n_e \cdot d_{tl}} \cdot \frac{\delta V_{AC}}{\omega_{AC} \cdot S \cdot Z_t (\omega_{AC}, x, y)}$$

$$\delta \varepsilon_{tl}''(\omega_{AC}, x, y) = \frac{\varepsilon_{tl}''}{e \cdot n_e \cdot d_{tl}} \cdot \frac{\delta V_{AC}}{\omega_{AC} \cdot S \cdot Z_t (\omega_{AC}, x, y)}$$
(7)

To relate the electrical structure of the sample to the 2D phase map when applying an AC electric field in an epi-MISS set-up, one has to connect the impedance formalism above to the multilayered sensing chip in the context of the general formalism based on Fresnel equations to derive the 2D distribution of the phase amplitudes as a function of the electrical impedance fingerprint of the sample and the parameters of the chip (as illustrated in Fig. 6).

Indeed, based on Fresnel equations, we derive the variation in the phase difference between the incident and reflected light ($\Phi(x, y)$ in equation (s7), Supplementary materials), modulated by the electrically active uppermost interface, also dependent on the structure of the sensing chip (ε_{ij} , d_i):

$$\delta\Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y) = \delta\Phi[\delta\varepsilon_{tl}, Z_t(\omega_{AC}, x, y), \varepsilon_i, d_i]$$
(8)

The phase variation is linearly dependent (in a first approximation), via K_{phase} , on the relative permittivity shift, $\delta \varepsilon_{tl}$ driven by the (local) electric field, of the liquid–sensor interface. For a given structure of the sensing chip (with known parameters ε_i and d_i), the



variation in the phase magnitude relates to $\delta \varepsilon_{tl}$ via:

$$\delta\Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y) \approx K_{phase} \delta\varepsilon_{tl}(\omega_{AC}, x, y) \tag{9}$$

Consequently, since the highest impact is given by ε'_{tl} ,

$$\delta \Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y) \approx K_{phase} \cdot \frac{\left(\varepsilon_{tl}' - 1\right)}{e \cdot n_e \cdot d_{tl}} \frac{\delta V_{AC}}{S \cdot \omega_{AC}} \frac{1}{Z_t(\omega_{AC}, x, y)}$$
(10)

By denoting α_{phase} as:

$$\alpha_{phase} = K_{phase} \cdot \frac{\left(\varepsilon_{tl}' - 1\right)}{e \cdot n_e \cdot d_{tl}} \tag{11}$$

one obtains the dependence of the variation in the optical phase-amplitude on the magnitude of the electrical impedance of the sample (similar to Eq. (2)):

$$\delta\Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y) \approx \alpha_{phase} \frac{\delta V_{AC}}{S \cdot \omega_{AC}} \frac{1}{Z_t(\omega_{AC}, x, y)}$$
(12)

Combining Eqs. (6) and (11), one relates the phase to the AC variation of the surface charge density:

$$\delta\Phi(\omega_{AC}, x, y) \approx \alpha_{phase} \delta\sigma(\omega_{AC}, x, y) \tag{13}$$

Both the theoretical simulations and the experimental results reveal a quasi-linear dependency of the phase-amplitude, $\delta \Phi$, on the excitation, with δV_{AC} yielding a similar value of the linear coefficient α_{phase} , ~17 C⁻¹ m⁻¹.

Notably, the simulation reveals that the ratio K_{phase}/d_{tl} does not depend on d_{tl} in the range of 1 nm ÷ 0.1 nm; therefore, assuming the precise value of the Thomas–Fermi screening length is not critical for deriving α_{phase} .

The relations in Eq. (7) encompass the core of the electro-optical phenomena concerning non-faradaic approaches. They generically relate the modulation of the dielectric constant of the thin layer of the electrode facing the electrolyte to the sample impedance and the parameters of the applied electric field. As a limiting factor of this cause-effect relation, the frequency of the AC field at the denominator restricts the applicability of electro-optical assays to frequencies below ~100 kHz, even though cameras with megahertz frame rates became available⁴².

Moreover, Eqs. (12) and (13) apply to other electrooptical methods, e.g., involving plasmonics^{13,41}, with particular values for the respective linear coefficient.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Romanian Executive Unit for Higher Education, Research, Development and Innovation Funding for funding through Grants ERANET Euronanomed (NanoLight, 135), Permed (POC4Allergies, 138), ERANET-M-(SmartMatter, 173). The support of the Attract project funded by the EC (HORIZON 2020 – Grant Agreement no. 777222) is gratefully acknowledged. The support of Fonds européen de développement régional (FEDER) and the Walloon region under the Operational Program "Wallonia-2020. EU" (project CLEARPOWER) is gratefully acknowledged. G.P., M.E.K., H.M., received funding from EBICS (US NSF, 0939511). In addition, M.E.K. is supported by MBM (US NSF, NRT-UtB, 1735252). G.P. is grateful to NSF (0939511) and NIH (R01-GM129709 and R01-CA238191).

Author details

¹International Centre of Biodynamics, 060101 Bucharest, Romania. ²Institute of Information and Communication Technologies, Electronics and Applied Mathematics, Université Catholique de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium. ³Quantitative Light Imaging Laboratory, Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

Author contributions

G.P. devised the (epi) magnified image spatial spectrum microscopy technique; E.G. devised the optical-electrochemical concept; C.P. performed the opticalelectrochemical experimental measurements; C.P. and M.G. performed data analysis and image processing, C.P. and S.G. performed the electrochemical tests; S.D. and S.M. performed the nanofabrication; S.G. performed the AFM analysis; H.M. devised MISS components; M.K. devised the algorithm for phase extraction; M.G. and C.P. wrote the paper, and all authors contributed to the discussion.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Conflict of interest

G.P. is the founder of Phi Optics, Inc., (Illinois, USA), a company developing quantitative phase imaging technology for materials and life science applications. The remaining contributing authors report no conflicts of interest.

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41377-020-00461-x.

Received: 14 July 2020 Revised: 16 December 2020 Accepted: 29 December 2020

Published online: 21 January 2021

References

- Gan, Q., Bartoli, F. J. & Kafafi, Z. H. Plasmonic-enhanced organic photovoltaics: breaking the 10% efficiency barrier. *Adv. Mater.* 25, 2385–2396 (2013).
- Kuehnel, M. F. et al. ZnSe nanorods as visible-light absorbers for photocatalytic and photoelectrochemical H₂ evolution in. *Water Angew. Chim. Int. Ed.* 58, 5059–5063 (2019).
- Dias, P. et al. Transparent cuprous oxide photocathode enabling a stacked tandem cell for unbiased water splitting. Adv. Energy Mater. 5, 1501537 (2015).
- Branch, S. D. et al. Optically transparent thin-film electrode chip for spectroelectrochemical sensing. *Anal. Chem.* 89, 7324–7332 (2017).
- Spira, M. E. & Hai, A. Multi-electrode array technologies for neuroscience and cardiology. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 8, 83–94 (2013).
- Lemineur, J. F. et al. In situ optical monitoring of the electrochemical conversion of dielectric nanoparticles: from multi-step charge injection to nanoparticle motion. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 142, 7937–7946 (2020).
- Zhu, H. et al. Detection of molecules and charges with a bright field optical microscope. *Anal. Chem.* 92, 5904–5909 (2020).
- Bandarenka, A. S. et al. Localized electrochemical impedance spectroscopy: visualization of spatial distributions of the key parameters describing solid/ liquid interfaces. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 2443–2448 (2013).
- Polcari, D., Dauphin-Ducharme, P. & Mauzeroll, J. Scanning electrochemical microscopy: a comprehensive review of experimental parameters from 1989 to 2015. *Chem. Rev.* **116**, 13234–13278 (2016).
- Stephens, L. I. & Mauzeroll, J. Altered spatial resolution of scanning electrochemical microscopy induced by multifunctional dual-barrel microelectrodes. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 6796–6803 (2018).

• LSA

- Domanski, A. L. et al. Kelvin probe force microscopy in nonpolar liquids. Langmuir 28, 13892–13899 (2012).
- Foley, K. J., Shan, X. N. & Tao, N. J. Surface impedance imaging technique. *Anal. Chem.* 80, 5146–5151 (2008).
- Liu, X. W. et al. Plasmonic-based electrochemical impedance imaging of electrical activities in single cells. *Angew. Chim. Int. Ed.* 56, 8855–8859 (2017).
- Wang, W. et al. Single cells and intracellular processes studied by a plasmonicbased electrochemical impedance microscopy. *Nat. Chem.* 3, 249–255 (2011).
- Liang, W. B. et al. Measurement of small molecule binding kinetics on a protein microarray by plasmonic-based electrochemical impedance imaging. *Anal. Chem.* 86, 9860–9865 (2014).
- MacGriff, C. et al. Charge-based detection of small molecules by plasmonicbased electrochemical impedance microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 6682–6687 (2013).
- Shan, X. N. et al. Imaging local electrochemical current via surface plasmon resonance. *Science* 327, 1363–1366 (2010).
- Popescu, G. Quantitative Phase Imaging of Cells and Tissues. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 2011).
- Kandel, M. E. et al. Phase imaging with computational specificity (PICS) for measuring dry mass changes in sub-cellular compartments. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 6256 (2020).
- Langehanenberg, P., von Bally, G. & Kemper, B. Application of partially coherent light in live cell imaging with digital holographic microscopy. J. Mod. Opt. 57, 709–717 (2010).
- 22. Merola, F. et al. Tomographic flow cytometry by digital holography. *Light Sci. Appl.* **6**, e16241 (2017).
- Miccio, L. et al. Red blood cell as an adaptive optofluidic microlens. Nat. Commun. 6, 6502 (2015).
- Park, Y. K, Depeursinge, C. & Popescu, G. Quantitative phase imaging in biomedicine. *Nat. Photonics* 12, 578–589 (2018).
- Ash, W. M. III, Krzewina, L. & Kim, M. K. Quantitative imaging of cellular adhesion by total internal reflection holographic microscopy. *Appl. Opt.* 48, H144–H152 (2009).
- Mandracchia, B. et al. Label-free quantification of the effects of lithium niobate polarization on cell adhesion via holographic microscopy. J. Biophotonics 11, e201700332 (2018).
- Merola, F. et al. Phase contrast tomography at lab on chip scale by digital holography. *Methods* 136, 108–115 (2018).
- Charrière, F. et al. Cell refractive index tomography by digital holographic microscopy. Opt. Lett. 31, 178–180 (2006).
- Lee, M. et al. Label-free optical quantification of structural alterations in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 31034 (2016).
- Shan, M. G. et al. White-light diffraction phase microscopy at doubled spacebandwidth product. *Opt. Express* 24, 29033–29039 (2016).

- 31. Wang, Z. et al. Spatial light interference microscopy (SLIM). Opt. Express 19, 1016–1026 (2011).
- Kandel, M. E. et al. Epi-illumination gradient light interference microscopy for imaging opaque structures. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 4691 (2019).
- Nguyen, T. H. et al. Gradient light interference microscopy for 3D imaging of unlabeled specimens. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 210 (2017).
- Majeed, H. et al. Magnified image spatial spectrum (MISS) microscopy for nanometer and millisecond scale label-free imaging. *Opt. Express* 26, 5423–5440 (2018).
- Munteanu, R. E. et al. High spatial resolution electrochemical biosensing using reflected light microscopy. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 15196 (2019).
- Bhaduri, B. et al. Diffraction phase microscopy: principles and applications in materials and life sciences. Adv. Opt. Photonics 6, 57–119 (2014).
- Shan, X. N. et al. Plasmonic-based imaging of local square wave voltammetry. Anal. Chem. 83, 7394–7399 (2011).
- Wang, S. P. et al. Electrochemical surface plasmon resonance: basic formalism and experimental validation. *Anal. Chem.* 82, 935–941 (2010).
- Yuan, L., Tao, N. J. & Wang, W. Plasmonic imaging of electrochemical impedance. Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem. 10, 183–200 (2017).
- Zhou, X. L. et al. Surface plasmon resonance microscopy: from singlemolecule sensing to single-cell imaging. *Angew. Chim. Int. Ed.* 59, 1776–1785 (2020).
- Rosu-Hamzescu, M. et al. High speed CMOS acquisition system based on FPGA embedded image processing for electro-optical measurements. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 89, 065103 (2018).
- Beekers, I. et al. Combined confocal microscope and brandaris 128 ultra-highspeed camera. Ultrasound Med. Biol. 45, 2575–2582 (2019).
- Lioubimov, V. et al. Effect of varying electric potential on surface-plasmon resonance sensing. *Appl. Opt.* 43, 3426–3432 (2004).
- Pleskov, Y. V. Electric double layer on semiconductor electrodes. in *Comprehensive Treatise of Electrochemistry* (eds Bockris, J. O. M., Conway, B. E., & Yeager, E) 291–328 (Springer, Boston, 1980).
- Brett, C. M. A. & Brett, A. M. O. Electrochemistry—Principles, methods and applications. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993).
- Almog, I. F., Bradley, M. S. & Bulović, V. The Lorentz Oscillator and its Applications. (MIT OpenCourseWare, 2011) 1–34.
- Cherednichenko, K. & Graham, W. Frequency-dependent impedance and surface waves on the boundary of a stratified dielectric medium. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A: Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **377**, 20190218 (2019).
- Gharbi, T. et al. Fitting optical properties of metals by Drude–Lorentz and partial-fraction models in the [0.5;6] eV range. *Optical Mater. Express* 10, 1129–1162 (2020).
- McIntyre, J. D. E. Electrochemical modulation spectroscopy. Surf. Sci. 37, 658–682 (1973).
- Polonschii, C. et al. Complementarity of EIS and SPR to reveal specific and nonspecific binding when interrogating a model bioaffinity sensor; perspective offered by plasmonic based EIS. *Anal. Chem.* 86, 8553–8562 (2014).